PDF version of www.hcvguidelines.org



Recommendations for Testing, Managing, and Treating Hepatitis C

Revised Date: March 21, 2014

Collaborating Partner

INTRODUCTION

NOTICE: Guidance for hepatitis C treatment is changing constantly with the advent of new therapies and other developments. A static version of this guidance, such as printout of this website material, booklet, slides, and other materials, may be outdated by the time you read this. We urge you to review this guidance on this website (www.hcvguidelines.org) for the latest recommendations.

The landscape of treatment for hepatitis C virus (HCV) infection has evolved substantially since the introduction of highly effective HCV protease inhibitor therapies in 2011. The pace of change is expected to increase rapidly, as numerous new drugs with different mechanisms of action will likely become available over the next few years. To provide healthcare professionals with timely guidance as new therapies are available and integrated into HCV regimens, the Infectious Diseases Society of America (IDSA) and American Association for the Study of Liver Diseases (AASLD) have developed a web-based process for the rapid formulation and dissemination of evidence-based, expert-developed recommendations for hepatitis C management. The International Antiviral Society–USA (IAS–USA) provides the structure and assistance to sustain the process that represents the work of leading authorities in hepatitis C prevention, diagnosis, and treatment.

The AASLD/IDSA hepatitis C Guidance addresses management issues ranging from testing and linkage to care, the crucial first steps toward improving health outcomes for HCV-infected persons, to the optimal treatment regimen in particular patient situations. Recommendations are based on evidence and are rapidly updated as new data from peer-reviewed evidence become available. For each treatment option, recommendations reflect the best possible management for a given patient and a given point of disease progression. Recommendations are graded with regard to the level of the evidence and strength of the recommendation. The AASLD/IDSA hepatitis C Guidance is supported by the membership-based societies and not by pharmaceutical companies or other commercial interests. The Boards of Directors of AASLD and IDSA have appointed an oversight panel of 5 co-chairs and have selected panel members from the 2 societies based on their expertise in hepatitis C research and care**.** Likewise, the Guidance development process is generally consistent with that used by the IAS-USA (https://www.iasusa.org/about/program-development-policy).

This Guidance should be considered a "living document" in that new sections will be added (eg, Who and When to Initiate Treatment, and Monitoring Patients Who are On or Have Completed Therapy are coming soon) and the Guidance will be updated frequently as new information and treatments become available. This continually evolving report provides guidance on FDA-approved regimens. At times, it may also recommend off-label use of certain drugs or tests or provide guidance for regimens not yet approved by FDA. Readers should consult prescribing information and other resources for further information. Of note, the choice of treatment may, in the future, be further guided by data from cost-effectiveness studies.

Changes made on this page on March 12, 2014.

METHODS

The Guidance was developed by a panel of HCV experts in the fields of hepatology and infectious diseases, using an evidence-based review of information that is largely available to healthcare practitioners. The process and detailed methods for developing the Guidance are detailed in **Methods Table 1**. Recommendations were graded according to the strength of the recommendation and quality of the supporting evidence (see **Methods Table 2**). Commonly used abbreviations are expanded in **Methods Table 3**.

Methods Table 1. Summary of the Process and Methods for the Guidance Development

Topic	Description
Statement of Need	The introduction of direct-acting agents against HCV in 2011 has rapidly changed the treatment of HCV and the timely diagnosis of infection remains essential. This ever increasing pace of change anticipates numerous additional therapies in the next few years, requiring timely guidance on how each new development changes practice for health care professionals.
Goal of the Guidance	The goal of the Guidance is to provide up-to-date recommendations to health care practitioners on the optimal screening, management, and treatment for adults with HCV infection in the United States, considering the best available evidence. The Guidance will be updated regularly, as new data, information, and tools and treatments become available. The initial recommendations address 4 areas of priority: screening, testing, and linkage to care; initial treatment regimens in persons for whom the decision to treat has been made; retreatment regimens and considerations for persons for whom the decision to treat has been made; and treatment in unique patient populations.
Panel members	The Panel members were chosen because of their expertise in the diagnosis, management, and treatment of HCV infection in terms of research and patient care. Members from the fields of hepatology and infectious diseases are included. Members were appointed by the respective Sponsor Societies after vetting by an appointed Sponsor Society committee. At least 1 representative from the hepatitis C community serves on the Panel. The Panel chairs were appointed by the Society boards, 2 each from the Sponsor Societies and 1 representing the Collaborating Partner. All Panel chairs and members serve as volunteers (not compensated) for defined terms (3 years), which may be renewed.
Conflict of interest management	The panel was established with the goal of having no personal (ie, direct payment to the individual) financial conflicts among its chairs and among fewer than half of its members. All potential panel members were asked to disclose any relationship with a pharmaceutical, biotechnology, medical device, or health-related company or venture that may result in financial benefit. Disclosures were obtained prior to the panel member appointments and prior to the initiation of the work of the panel (October 2013). Individuals were asked to report activities and personal financial relationships/investments that were current or planned and for the preceding year (prior to October 2013). Individuals were also asked to disclose commercial funding of research activities to their institutions or organizations. Disclosures were reviewed by the HCV Guidance Chairs , which made assessments based on the conflict of interest policies of the sponsoring organizations (AASLD and IDSA) and the collaborative partner (IAS-USA). Personal and institutional financial relationships with commercial entities that

	have products in the field of hepatitis C were assessed. The following relationships were prohibited: ▪ Employment with an affected company ▪ An ownership interest in an affected company ▪ Participation in/payment for promotional or marketing activities including non-CME or speakers bureaus and lectures for affected companies The following relationships/activities were reportable but were not deemed to merit exclusion: ▪ Commercial support of Research. Due to the rapidly evolving nature of the subject matter, having individuals with expertise in the particular clinical topic was critical to developing the highest-quality and most-informed recommendations. To that end, research was not considered an unresolvable conflict if the funding of the research was paid to the institution, as opposed to the individual. In the instance of someone conducting clinical research in a community practice, research funds to the group practice were acceptable. ▪ Participation on commercial company Scientific advisory boards. Participation in advisory boards or in consultancies sponsored by the research arm of a company (eg, study design or data safety monitoring board) was considered a potential personal conflict but was not considered a criterion for exclusion. The HCV Guidance Chairs achieved a majority of panel members with no personal financial interests. The Panel met face-to-face in October 2013 and meets by conference call regularly to develop and update the Guidance. Panel members were asked to inform the group of any changes to their disclosure status and were given the opportunity to recuse themselves (or be recused) from the discussion where a perceived conflict of interest that could not be resolved existed. Financial disclosures for each Panel member can be accessed here.
Intended Audience	Medical practitioners especially those who provide care to or manage patients with hepatitis C.
Sponsors, funding, and collaborating partner	The American Association for the Study of Liver Diseases (AASLD) and the Infectious Diseases Society of America (IDSA) are the Sponsors of the Guidance and provide financial support. The International Antiviral Society-USA (IAS-USA) is the Collaborating Partner responsible for providing expertise and managing the Panel and the Guidance development process. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) provided financial support for the gathering and review of evidence related to hepatitis C screening and testing recommendations and interventions to implement HCV screening in clinical settings.

Evidence identification and collection	The Guidance was developed using an evidence-based review of information that is largely available to health care practitioners. Data from the following sources are considered by Panel members when making recommendations: research published in the peer-reviewed literature or presented at major national or international scientific conferences, safety warnings from FDA or other regulatory agencies or from manufacturers, drug interaction data, prescribing information from FDA-approved products, and registration data for new products under FDA review. Unpublished or presented reports, data on file, and personal communications are generally not considered. Panel members were appointed based on their collective broad knowledge of available data and current research in the field. These experts were responsible for initially identifying and discussing relevant data, including recent reports from scientific conferences. An initial literature search was conducted on November 4, 2013, to ensure that the Panel addressed all relevant published data. A total of 3939 unique citations were retrieved. Medical subject headings and free text terms were combined to maximize retrieval of relevant citations from the PubMed, Scopus, EMBASE, and Web of Science databases. To be considered for inclusion, articles were required to have been published in English from 2010 to the present. Review articles, studies using mice or rats, and in vitro studies were excluded from consideration. The Panel members regularly monitor the field for new evidence, and the literature search is updated as needed.
Grading of the evidence and RECOMMENDATIONS	The Guidance is presented in the form of RECOMMENDATIONS. Each RECOMMENDATION is graded in terms of the level of the evidence and strength of the recommendation, using a scale adapted from the American College of Cardiology and the American Heart Association Practice Guidelines. (American Heart Association, 2014); (Shiffman, 2003) A summary of the supporting (and conflicting) evidence follows each RECOMMENDATION or set of RECOMMENDATIONS.
Data review and synthesis and preparation of RECOMMENDATIONS and supporting information	The Guidance was initially divided into 3 subsections: 1) Testing and Linkage to Care; 2) Choice of Regimen in Treatment-Naive Patients For Whom the Decision to Treat Has Been Made, and 3) Retreatment for Patients in Whom the decision to treat has been made. It was later decided to make treatment for unique patient populations a separate section. Subgroups of the panel were assigned to collect, review, and prepare initial draft RECOMMENDATIONS. Draft RECOMMENDATIONS were reviewed at the first full Panel meeting in October 2013. Subgroups of the Panel then met regularly by conference call and presented their updated RECOMMENDATIONS and supporting evidence at each of 3 full-Panel conference calls. Final approval of all RECOMMENDATIONS was made by full-Panel, general consensus. Initial recommendations and their grades were individually subject to Panel survey; panelists were given the opportunity to agree,

	disagree, and provide comment. This procedure helped identify any disagreement or inconsistency between Panel members for each recommendation. Sponsor Societies have final review and approval of each recommendation prior to release of the Guidance on the website, www.hcvguidelines.org.
Update Process	The Guidance will be expanded to cover more management issues as needed, and will be updated on an ongoing basis. Panel members will regularly monitor the field for data that may warrant modification of the Guidance. Updates may be prompted by new publications or presentations at major national or international scientific conferences, new drug approvals (or new indications, dosing formulations, or frequency of dosing), new safety warnings, or other information that may have a substantial impact on the clinical care of patients. Updated RECOMMENDATIONS and ratings, once agreed on by the full Panel and approved by the Sponsor Societies, are posted on the Guidance website.
Abbreviations	Commonly used abbreviations in the text with their expansions are listed in Methods Table 3.
Opportunity for Comments	Evidence-based comments may be submitted to the Panel by email hcvguidelines@iasusa.org, or clicking on the “Send a comment to the Panel” button on www.hcvguidelines.org/contact-us. The Panel considers evidence-based comments about the RECOMMENDATIONS, grades, and evidence summary, but should not be contacted for individual patient management questions.

Methods Table 2. Grading System Used to Rate the Level of the Evidence and Strength of the Recommendation for Each Recommendation

Recommendations are based on scientific evidence and expert opinion. Each recommended statement includes a Roman numeral (**I**, **II**, or **III**) that represents the level of the evidence that supports the recommendation, and a letter (**A**, **B**, or **C**) that represents the strength of the recommendation.

Classification	Description
Class I	Conditions for which there is evidence and/or general agreement that a given diagnostic evaluation, procedure, or treatment is beneficial, useful, and effective
Class II	Conditions for which there is conflicting evidence and/or a divergence of opinion about the usefulness and efficacy of a diagnostic evaluation, procedure, or treatment
Class IIa	Weight of evidence and/or opinion is in favor of usefulness and efficacy
Class IIb	Usefulness and efficacy are less well established by evidence and/or opinion
Class III	Conditions for which there is evidence and/or general agreement that a diagnostic evaluation, procedure, or treatment is not useful and effective or if it in some cases may be harmful
Level of Evidence	**Description**
Level A	Data derived from multiple randomized clinical trials or meta-analyses
Level B	Data derived from a single randomized trial, or nonrandomized studies
Level C	Consensus opinion of experts, case studies, or standard of care

Adapted from the American College of Cardiology and the American Heart Association Practice Guidelines. (American Heart Association, 2011); (Shiffman, 2003)

Methods Table 3. Commonly Used Abbreviations and Their Expansions

Abbreviation	Expansion or Notes
HCV	hepatitis C virus. In this Guidance "hepatitis C virus" and HCV refer to the virus. Hepatitis C and HCV infection or HCV disease refer to the resulting disease.
BOC	boceprevir
CrCl	creatinine clearance
CTP	Child Turcotte Pugh
DAA	direct-acting agent
ESRD	end-stage renal disease
IFN	interferon alfa
MELD	model for end-stage liver disease
MSM	men who have sex with men
OATP	organic anion-transporting polypeptide
P-gp	p-glycoprotein
PEG	peginterferon alfa
RAV	resistance-associated variants
RBV	ribavirin
RGT	response-guided therapy
RVR	rapid virologic response
sAg	surface antigen
SMV	simeprevir; used for the treatment of those with genotype 1 of hepatitis C virus (HCV) who have compensated liver disease, including cirrhosis
SOF	sofosbuvir; a nucleoside analog used in combination with other drugs for the treatment of hepatitis C virus (HCV) infection
SVR12 (or 24 or 48, etc)	sustained virologic response at 12 weeks (or at 24 weeks, or at 48 weeks, etc)
TVR	telaprevir; a direct-acting agent (DAA) to treat hepatitis C

Definition of Terms

Child Turcotte Pugh (CTP) classification of the severity of cirrhosis

	Class A	Class B	Class C
Total points	5–6	7–9	10–15
Factor	**1 Point**	**2 Points**	**3 Points**
Total bilirubin (µmol/L)	<34	34–50	>50
Serum albumin (g/L)	>35	28–35	<28
Prothrombin time/international normalized ratio	<1.7	1.71–2.30	>2.30
Ascites	None	Mild	Moderate to Severe
Hepatic encephalopathy	None	Grade I–II (or suppressed	Grade III–IV (or refractory)

	with medication)	
IFN-ineligible	IFN ineligible is defined as one or more of the below: • Intolerance to IFN • Autoimmune hepatitis and other autoimmune disorders • Hypersensitivity to PEG or any of its components • Decompensated hepatic disease • Major uncontrolled depressive illness • A baseline neutrophil count below 1500/?L, a baseline platelet count below 90,000/?L or baseline hemoglobin below 10 g/dL • A history of preexisting cardiac disease	
Relapser	a person who has achieved an undetectable level of virus during a prior treatment course of PEG/RBV and relapsed after treatment was stopped	

HCV TESTING AND LINKAGE TO CARE

Expansions and notes for abbreviations used in this section can be found in Methods Table 3.

A summary of recommendations for Testing and Linkage to Care is found in the BOX.

HCV testing is recommended at least once for persons born between 1945 and 1965.

Rating: Class I, Level B

Other persons should be screened for risk factors for HCV infection, and one-time testing should be performed for all persons with behaviors, exposures, and conditions associated with an increased risk of HCV infection.

1. *Risk behaviors*

Injection-drug use (current or ever, including those who injected once)

Intranasal illicit drug use

2. *Risk exposures*

Long-term hemodialysis (ever)

Getting a tattoo in an unregulated setting

Healthcare, emergency medical, and public safety workers after needle sticks, sharps, or mucosal exposures to HCV-infected blood

Children born to HCV-infected women

Prior recipients of transfusions or organ transplants, including persons who:

- were notified that they received blood from a donor who later tested positive for HCV infection
- received a transfusion of blood or blood components, or underwent an organ transplant before July 1992
- received clotting factor concentrates produced before 1987
- were ever incarcerated

3. *Other medical conditions*

HIV infection

Unexplained chronic liver disease and chronic hepatitis including elevated alanine aminotransferase levels

Rating: Class I, Level B

Of the estimated 2.7 million to 3.9 million persons (1999 to 2008 National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey data [Armstrong, 2006]) chronically infected with HCV in the United States, 45% to 85% are unaware that they are infected. (Smith, 2012) Identification of those with active infection is the first step toward improving health outcomes among persons with HCV infection and preventing transmission. (Smith, 2012); (US Preventive Services Task Force, 2013); (Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, 1998)

HCV testing is recommended in select populations based on demography, prior exposures, high-risk behaviors, and medical conditions. Recommendations for testing are based on HCV prevalence in these populations, proven benefits of care and treatment in reducing the risk of hepatocellular carcinoma and all-cause mortality, and the potential public health benefit of reducing transmission through early treatment, viral clearance, and reduced risk behaviors. (Smith, 2012); (US Preventive Services Task Force, 2013); (Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, 1998)

HCV is primarily transmitted through percutaneous exposure to blood. Other modes of transmission include mother-to-infant and contaminated devices shared for non-injection drug use; sexual transmission also occurs but generally seems to be inefficient except among HIV-infected men who have unprotected sex with men. (Schmidt, 2014) The most important risk for HCV infection is injection-drug use, accounting for at least 60% of acute HCV infections in the United States. Health-care exposures are important sources of transmission, including the receipt of blood products before 1992 (after which routine screening of blood supply was implemented), receipt of clotting factor concentrates before 1987, long-term hemodialysis, needle-stick injuries among healthcare workers, and patient-to-patient transmission resulting from poor infection control practices. Other risk factors include having been born to an HCV-infected mother, having been incarcerated, and having received a tattoo in an unregulated setting. The importance of these risk factors might differ based on geographic location and population. (US Preventive Services Task Force, 2013); (Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, 1998). An estimated 29% of incarcerated persons in North America are anti-HCV positive, supporting the recommendation to test this population for HCV. (Larney, 2013) Because of shared transmission modes, persons with HIV infection are at risk for HCV; sexual transmission is a particular risk for HIV-infected men who have unprotected sex with men. (Hosein, 2013); (van de Laar, 2010) Recent data also support testing in all cadaveric and living solid-organ donors because of the risk of HCV infection posed to the recipient. (Seem, 2013); (Lai, 2013)

In 2012, CDC expanded its guidelines originally issued in 1998 (Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, 1998) for risk-based HCV testing with a recommendation to offer a 1-time HCV test to all persons born between 1945 and 1965 without prior ascertainment of HCV risk-factors. This recommendation was supported by evidence demonstrating that a risk-based strategy alone failed to

identify more than 50% of HCV infections in part due to patient underreporting of their risk and provider limitations in ascertaining risk-factor information. Furthermore, persons in the 1945 to 1965 birth cohort accounted for nearly three-fourths of all HCV infections, with a 5-times higher prevalence (3.25%) than other persons, reflecting a higher incidence of HCV infections in the 1970s and 1980s (peaking at 230,000 versus 15,000 in 2009). A recent retrospective review showed that 68% of persons with HCV infection would have been identified through a birth-cohort testing strategy, whereas only 27% would have been screened with the risk-based approach. (Mahajan, 2013) The cost-effectiveness of 1-time birth cohort testing is comparable to that of current risk-based screening strategies. (Smith, 2012)

CDC and the US Preventive Services Task Force (USPSTF) both recommend a 1-time HCV test in asymptomatic persons belonging to the 1945 to 1965 birth cohort and other persons based on exposures, behaviors, and conditions that increase risk for HCV infection.

Annual HCV testing is recommended for persons who inject drugs and for HIV-seropositive men who have unprotected sex with men. Periodic testing should be offered to other persons with ongoing risk factors for exposure to HCV.

Rating: Class IIA, Level C

Evidence regarding the frequency of testing in persons at risk for ongoing exposure to HCV is lacking; therefore, clinicians should determine the periodicity of testing based on the risk of reinfection. Because of the high incidence of HCV infection among persons who inject drugs and among HIV-infected MSM who have unprotected sex (Aberg, 2013); (Linas, 2012); (Wandeler, 2012); (Witt, 2013); (Bravo, 2012); (Williams, 2011), at least annual HCV testing is recommended in these subgroups.

An anti-HCV test is recommended for HCV testing, and if the result is positive, current infection should be confirmed by a sensitive RNA test.

Rating: Class I, Level A

Among persons with a negative anti-HCV test who are suspected of having liver disease, testing for HCV RNA or follow-up testing for HCV antibody is recommended if exposure to HCV occurred within the past 6 months; testing for HCV RNA can also be considered in persons who are immunocompromised.

Rating: Class I, Level C

Among persons suspected of reinfection after previous spontaneous or treatment-related viral clearance, initial HCV-RNA testing is recommended because an anti-HCV test is expected to be positive.

Rating: Class I, Level C

Quantitative HCV RNA testing is recommended prior to the initiation of antiviral therapy to document the baseline level of viremia (ie, baseline viral load).

Rating: Class I, Level A

Testing for HCV genotype is recommended to guide selection of the most appropriate antiviral regimen.

Rating: Class I, Level A

If found to have positive results for anti-HCV test and negative results for HCV RNA by PCR, persons should be informed that they do not have evidence of current (active) HCV infection.

Rating: Class I, Level A

All persons recommended for HCV testing should first be tested for HCV antibody (anti-HCV) (Centers for Disease Control and Prevention [CDC], 2013); (Alter, 2003) using an FDA-approved test. FDA-approved tests include laboratory-based assays and a point-of-care assay (ie, OraQuick HCV Rapid Antibody Test [OraSure Technologies]). (Lee, 2011) The latter is an indirect immunoassay with a sensitivity and specificity similar to those of FDA-approved laboratory-based HCV antibody assays.

A positive test result for anti-HCV indicates either current (active) HCV infection (acute or chronic), past infection that has resolved, or a false-positive test result. (Pawlotsky, 2002) Therefore, an HCV nucleic acid test (NAT) to detect viremia is necessary to confirm current (active) HCV infection and guide clinical management, including initiation of HCV treatment. HCV RNA testing should also be performed in persons with a negative anti-HCV test who are either immunocompromised (eg, persons receiving chronic hemodialysis) (KDIGO, 2008) or who might have been exposed to HCV within the last 6 months (including those who are possibly reinfected after previous spontaneous or treatment-related viral clearance) because these persons may be anti-HCV negative. An FDA-approved quantitative or qualitative NAT with a detection level of 25 IU/mL or lower should be used to detect HCV RNA. **Testing and Linkage to Care Table 1** lists FDA-approved, commercially available anti-HCV screening assays. **Testing and Linkage to Care Figure 1** shows the CDC-recommended testing algorithm.

Prior to the initiation of HCV therapy, quantitative HCV RNA testing is necessary to document the baseline level of viremia (ie, viral load), because the degree of initial viral decline is a crucial marker of the effectiveness of treatment. Testing for HCV genotype helps to guide selection of the most appropriate treatment regimen. Persons who have positive results for an anti-HCV test and negative results for HCV RNA by PCR should be informed that they do not have laboratory evidence of current (active) HCV infection. Additional HCV testing is typically unnecessary. However, some practitioners or persons may seek additional testing to learn if the HCV antibody test represents a remote HCV infection that has resolved or a false-positive result. For patients with no apparent risk for HCV infection, the likelihood of a false-positive HCV antibody test is directly related to the HCV prevalence in the tested population; false-

positive test results for anti-HCV are most common for populations with a low prevalence of HCV infection. (Alter, 2003) If further testing is desired to distinguish between true positivity and biologic false positivity for HCV antibody, testing may be done with a second FDA-approved HCV antibody assay that is different from the assay used for initial antibody testing. A biologic false result should not occur with 2 different tests. (Vermeersch, 2008); (Centers for Disease Control and Prevention [CDC]), 2013) The HCV RNA test can be repeated when there is a high index of suspicion of infection or in patients with prior or ongoing risk factors for HCV infection.

Persons with current (active) HCV infection should receive education and interventions aimed at reducing progression of liver disease and preventing transmission of HCV.

Rating: Class IIa, Level B

1. *Abstinence from alcohol and, when appropriate, interventions to facilitate cessation of alcohol consumption should be advised for all persons with HCV infection.*

   Rating: Class IIa, level B

2. *Evaluation for other conditions that may accelerate liver fibrosis, including HBV and HIV infections, is recommended for all persons with HCV infection.*

   Rating: Class IIb, level B

3. *Evaluation for advanced fibrosis, using liver biopsy, imaging, or non-invasive markers, is recommended in all persons with HCV infection to facilitate an appropriate decision regarding HCV treatment strategy and determine the need for initiating additional screening measures (eg, hepatocellular carcinoma [HCC] screening).*

   Rating: Class I, Level B

4. *Vaccination against hepatitis A and hepatitis B is recommended for all persons with HCV infection who are susceptible to these types of viral hepatitis.*

   Rating: Class IIa, Level C

5. *All persons with HCV infection should be provided education on how to avoid HCV transmission to others.*

   Rating: Class I, level C

In addition to receiving therapy, HCV-infected persons should be educated about how to prevent further damage to their liver. Most important is prevention of the potential deleterious effect of alcohol. Numerous studies have found a strong association between the use of excess alcohol and the development or progression of liver fibrosis and even the development of HCC. (Poynard, 1997); (Harris, 2001); (Wiley, 1998); (Corrao, 1998); (Bellentani, 1999); (Noda, 1996); (Safdar, 2004)

Excess alcohol intake may also cause steatohepatitis. The daily consumption of more than 50 grams of alcohol has a high likelihood of worsening fibrosis. Some studies indicate that daily consumption of smaller amounts of alcohol also have a deleterious effect on the liver; however, these data are controversial. (Westin, 2002) Alcohol screening and brief interventions such as those outlined by the National Institute of Alcohol Abuse and Alcoholism (http://pubs.niaaa.nih.gov/publications/Practitioner/CliniciansGuide2005/clinicians_guide.htm) have been demonstrated to reduce alcohol consumption and episodes of binge drinking in the general population and among HCV-infected persons who consume alcohol heavily.(Whitlock, 2004); (Dieperink, 2010); (Proeschold-Bell, 2012) Persons identified as abusing alcohol and having alcohol dependence require treatment and consideration for referral to an addiction specialist.

HBV and HIV coinfection have been associated with poorer prognosis of HCV in cohort studies. (Thein, 2008); (Zarski, 1998) Due to overlapping risk factors for these infections and additional benefits of their identification and treatment, persons with HCV should be tested for HIV antibody and HBsAg using standard assays for screening (Moyer, 2013); (Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, 2008) (http://www.aafp.org/afp/2008/0315/p819.html and http://www.cdc.gov/mmwr/preview/mmwrhtml/rr5708a1.htm) and counseled how to reduce their risk of acquiring these infections, including through HBV vaccination (see below).

Patients with obesity and metabolic syndrome having underlying insulin resistance are more prone to have nonalcoholic fatty liver disease, which is a risk factor for fibrosis progression in HCV-infected persons. (Hourigan, 1999); (Ortiz, 2002) Therefore, HCV-infected persons who are overweight or obese (defined by a body mass index 25 kg/m^2 or higher or 30 kg/m^2 or higher, respectively) should be counseled regarding strategies to reduce weight and improve insulin resistance via diet, exercise, and medical therapies. (Musso, 2010); (Shaw, 2006) Patients with HCV infection and hyperlipidemia or cardiovascular comorbidities may also benefit from various hypolipidemic drugs. Prospective studies have demonstrated the safety and efficacy of statins in patients with chronic HCV and others with compensated chronic liver disease. (Lewis, 2007) Therefore, these agents should not be withheld in HCV-infected patients.

The severity of liver disease associated with chronic HCV infection is a key factor in determining the initial and follow-up evaluation of patients. Although patients with more advanced disease generally have a lower response to HCV therapy, they are also most likely to derive the greatest survival benefit. (Ghany, 2011) A liver biopsy can provide objective, semi-quantitative information regarding the amount and pattern of collagen or scar tissue in the liver, which can assist with treatment and monitoring plans. The Metavir fibrosis score (0-4) and Ishak fibrosis score (0-6) are commonly used to score the amount of hepatic collagen. A liver biopsy can also help assess the severity of liver inflammation, or of hepatic steatosis, and help exclude competing causes of liver injury. (Kleiner, 2005) However, the procedure has a low but real risk of complications, and sampling artifact makes its serial use in most patients less desirable. (Regev, 2002) Non-invasive methods frequently used to estimate liver disease severity include a liver-directed physical exam (normal in most patients), routine blood tests (eg, serum alanine transaminase, albumin, bilirubin, international normalized ratio levels, and complete cell blood counts with platelets), serum fibrosis marker panels, liver imaging (eg, ultrasound, computed tomography scan), and liver elastography. Simple

blood tests (eg, serum aspartate aminotransferase/platelet ratio index) (Wai, 2003) (http://www.hepatitisc.uw.edu/page/clinical-calculators/apri) and assessment of liver surface nodularity and spleen size by liver ultrasound or other cross-sectional imaging modalities can help determine if patients with HCV have occult portal hypertension, which is associated with a greater likelihood of developing future hepatic complications in untreated patients. (Chou, 2013); (Rockey, 2006) Liver elastography can provide instant information regarding liver stiffness at the point-of-care but can only reliably distinguish cirrhosis from non-cirrhosis. (Castera, 2012) Since persons with known or suspected bridging fibrosis and cirrhosis are at increased risk of developing complications of advanced liver disease, they require more frequent follow up; these persons also should avoid ulcerogenic drugs and receive ongoing imaging surveillance for liver cancer and varices. (Sangiovanni, 2006); (Fontana, 2010)

Exposure to infected blood is the primary mode of HCV transmission. HCV-infected persons must be informed of the precautions needed to avoid exposing others to infected blood. This is particularly important for persons who use injection drugs, given that HCV transmission in this population primarily results from the sharing of needles and other infected implements. Recently, epidemics of acute HCV due to sexual transmission in HIV-infected men who have sex with men have also been described. (van de Laar, 2009); (Urbanus, 2009); (Fierer, 2008) **Testing and Linkage Table 2** outlines measures to avoid HCV transmission. HCV is not spread by sneezing, hugging, holding hands, coughing, or sharing eating utensils or drinking glasses, nor is it transmitted through food or water.

Evaluation by a practitioner who is prepared to provide comprehensive management, including consideration of antiviral therapy, is recommended for all persons with current (active) HCV infection.

Rating: Class IIa, level C

The definition of evaluation is: *Patient has attended a medical care visit with a practitioner able to complete a full assessment, the pros and cons of antiviral therapy have been discussed, and the patient has been transitioned into treatment, if appropriate.*

Improvement in identification of current (active) HCV infection and advances in treatment regimens will have limited impact on HCV-related morbidity and mortality without concomitant improvement in linkage to care. All patients with current HCV infection and a positive HCV RNA test result should be evaluated by a practitioner with expertise in assessment of liver disease severity and HCV treatment. Subspecialty care is required for persons with HCV infection who have advanced fibrosis/cirrhosis (stage III or above on METAVIR scale), including possible referral for consideration of liver transplantation. In the United States, only an estimated 13% to 18% of persons chronically infected with HCV receive treatment. (Holmberg, 2013) Lack of appropriate practitioner assessment and delays in linkage to care can result in negative health outcomes. Further, patients who are lost to follow-up fail to benefit from evolving evaluation and treatment options.

Commonly cited patient-related barriers to treatment initiation include contraindications to treatment (eg, medical or psychiatric comorbidities), lack of acceptance of treatment (eg, asymptomatic nature of disease, competing priorities, low treatment efficacy, and long treatment duration and adverse effects), and lack of access to treatment (eg, cost and distance to specialist). (Khokhar, 2007); (Arora, 2011); (Clark, 2012)

Common practitioner–related barriers include perceived patient-related barriers (eg, fear of adverse effects, treatment duration, cost, and effectiveness), lack of expertise in HCV treatment, lack of specialty referral resources, resistance to treating persons currently using illicit drugs or alcohol, and concern about cost of HCV treatment. (Morrill, 2005); (Reilley, 2013); (McGowan, 2013) Some possible strategies to address these barriers are listed in **Testing and Linkage to Care Table 3**. One strategy that addresses several barriers is co-localization of HCV screening, evaluation, and treatment with other medical or social services. Co-localization has already been applied to settings with a high prevalence of HCV infection (eg, correctional facilities and programs providing needle exchange, substance abuse treatment, and methadone maintenance) but is not uniformly available. (Islam, 2012); (Stein, 2012); (Bruggmann, 2013)

A strategy that addresses lack of access to specialists (a primary barrier to hepatitis C care) is participation in models involving close collaboration between primary-care practitioners and subspecialists. (Arora, 2011); (Rossaro, 2013); (Miller, 2012) Such collaborations have used telemedicine and knowledge networks to overcome geographic distances to specialists. (Arora, 2011); (Rossaro, 2013) For example, Project ECHO (Extension for Community Healthcare Outcomes [http://www.echohcvexperts.com]) uses videoconferencing to enhance primary care practitioner capacity in rendering HCV care and treatment to New Mexico's large rural and underserved population. (Arora, 2011) Through case-based learning and real-time feedback from a multidisciplinary team of specialists (ie, gastroenterology, infectious diseases, pharmacology, and psychiatry practitioners), Project ECHO has expanded access to HCV infection treatment in populations that might have otherwise remained untreated.

Additional strategies of enhancing linkage to care could be adapted from other fields, such as tuberculosis and HIV, but remain to be evaluated for HCV infection. For example, use of directly observed therapy has enhanced adherence to TB treatment, and use of case managers and patient navigators has reduced loss of follow-up in HIV care. (Govindasamy, 2012) An assessment of efficacy and comparative effectiveness of these strategies is a crucial area of future research for patients with HCV infection. Replication and expansion of best practices and new models for linkage to HCV care will also be crucial to maximize the public health impact of newer treatment paradigms.

Testing and Linkage to Care Box: Summary of Recommendations for Testing and Linkage to Care

Testing and Linkage To Care Box. Summary of Recommendations for Testing and Linkage to Care

HCV testing is recommended at least once for persons born between 1945 and 1965.

Rating: Class I, Level B

Other persons should be screened for risk factors for HCV infection, and one-time testing should be performed for all persons with behaviors, exposures, and conditions associated with an increased risk of HCV infection.

1. *Risk behaviors*

    Injection drug use (current or ever, including those who injected once)

    Intranasal illicit drug use

2. *Risk exposures*

    Long-term hemodialysis (ever)

    Getting a tattoo in an unregulated setting

    Healthcare, emergency medical, and public safety workers after needle sticks, sharps, or mucosal exposures to HCV-infected blood

    Children born to HCV-infected women

    Prior recipients of transfusions or organ transplants, including persons who:

    - were notified that they received blood from a donor who later tested positive for HCV infection
    - received a transfusion of blood or blood components, or underwent an organ transplant before July 1992

- received clotting factor concentrates produced before 1987
- were ever Incarcerated

3. *Other medical conditions*

HIV infection

Unexplained chronic liver disease and chronic hepatitis including elevated alanine aminotransferase levels

Rating: Class I, Level B

Annual HCV testing is recommended for persons who inject drugs and for HIV-seropositive men who have unprotected sex with men. Periodic testing should be offered to other persons with ongoing risk factors for exposure to HCV.

Rating: Class IIA, Level C

An anti-HCV test is recommended for HCV testing, and if the result is positive, current infection should be confirmed by a sensitive RNA test.

Rating: Class I, Level A

Among persons with a negative anti-HCV test who are suspected of having liver disease, testing for HCV RNA or follow-up testing for HCV antibody is recommended if exposure to HCV occurred within the past 6 months; testing for HCV RNA can also be considered in persons who are immunocompromised.

Rating: Class I, Level C

Among persons suspected of reinfection after previous spontaneous or treatment-related viral clearance, initial HCV-RNA testing is recommended because an anti-HCV test is expected to be positive.

Rating: Class I, Level C

Quantitative HCV RNA testing is recommended prior to the initiation of antiviral therapy to document the baseline level of viremia (ie, baseline viral load).

Rating: Class I, Level A

Testing for HCV genotype is recommended to guide selection of the most appropriate antiviral regimen.

Rating: Class I, Level A

If found to have positive results for anti-HCV test and negative results for HCV RNA by PCR, persons should be informed that they do not have evidence of current (active) HCV infection.

Rating: Class I, Level A

Persons with current (active) HCV infection should receive education and interventions aimed at reducing progression of liver disease and preventing transmission of HCV.

Rating: Class IIa, Level B

1. *Abstinence from alcohol and, when appropriate, interventions to facilitate cessation of alcohol consumption should be advised for all persons with HCV infection.*

   Rating: Class IIa, level B

2. *Evaluation for other conditions that may accelerate liver fibrosis, including HBV and HIV infections, is recommended for all persons with HCV infection.*

   Rating: Class IIb, level B

3. *Evaluation for advanced fibrosis is recommended using liver biopsy, imaging, or non-invasive markers in all persons with HCV infection to facilitate an appropriate decision regarding HCV treatment strategy and to determine the need for initiating additional screening measures (eg, hepatocellular carcinoma [HCC] screening).*

   Rating: Class I, Level B

4. *Vaccination against hepatitis A and hepatitis B is recommended for all persons with HCV infection who are susceptible to these types of viral hepatitis.*

   Rating: Class IIa, Level C

5. *All persons with HCV infection should be provided education on how to avoid HCV transmission to others.*

Rating: Class I, level C

Evaluation by a practitioner who is prepared to provide comprehensive management, including consideration of antiviral therapy, is recommended for all persons with current (active) HCV infection.

Rating: Class IIa, level C

Testing and Linkage to Care Table 1. FDA-approved, Commercially Available Anti-HCV Screening Assays

Assay	Manufacturer	Format
Abbott HCV EIA 2.0	Abbott	EIA (Manual)
Advia Centaur HCV	Siemens	CIA (Automated)
ARCHITECT Anti-HCV	Abbott	CMIA (Automated)
AxSYM Anti-HCV	Abbott	MEIA (Automated)
OraQuick HCV Rapid Antibody Test	OraSure	Immunochromatographic (Manual)
Ortho HCV Version 3.0 EIA	Ortho	EIA (Manual)
VITROS Anti-HCV	Ortho	CIA (Automated)

Anti-HCV = HCV antibody; EIA = enzyme immunoassay; CIA = chemiluminescent immunoassay; MEIA = microparticle enzyme immunoassay; CMIA = chemiluminescent microparticle immunoassay

Table prepared by Saleem Kamili, PhD, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention.

Testing and Linkage to Care Table 2. Measures to Prevent Transmission of HCV

Persons with HCV infection should be counseled to avoid sharing toothbrushes and dental or shaving equipment, and be cautioned to cover any bleeding wound to prevent the possibility of others coming into contact with their blood.

Persons should be counseled to stop using illicit drugs and enter substance abuse treatment. Those who continue to inject drugs should be counseled to avoid reusing or sharing syringes, needles, water, cotton, and other drug preparation equipment; use new sterile syringes and filters and disinfected cookers; clean the injection site with a new alcohol swab; and dispose of syringes and needles after one use in a safe, puncture-proof container.

Persons with HCV infection should be advised not to donate blood and to discuss HCV serostatus prior to donation of body organs, other tissue, or semen.

Persons with HIV infection and those with multiple sexual partners or sexually transmitted infections should be encouraged to use barrier precautions to prevent sexual transmission. Other persons with HCV infection should be counseled that the risk of sexual transmission is low and may not warrant barrier protection.

Household surfaces and implements contaminated with visible blood from an HCV-infected person should be cleaned using a dilution of 1 part household bleach to 9 parts water. Gloves should be worn when cleaning up blood spills.

Testing and Linkage to Care Table 3: Common Barriers to HCV Treatment and Potential Strategies

Barrier	Strategy
Contraindications to treatment (eg, comorbidities, substance abuse, and psychiatric disorders)	Counseling and education Referral to services (eg, psychiatry and opioid substitution therapy) Optimize treatment with simpler and less toxic regimens
Competing priority and loss to follow-up	Conduct counseling and education Engage case managers and patient navigators (HIV model) Co-localize services (eg, primary care, medical homes, and drug treatment)
Long treatment duration and adverse effects	Optimize treatment with simpler and better tolerated regimens Education and monitoring Directly observed therapy (tuberculosis model)
Lack of access to treatment (high cost, lack of insurance, geographic distance, and lack of availability of specialists)	Leverage expansion of coverage through the Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act Participate in models of care involving close collaboration between primary care practitioners and specialists

	Pharmaceutical patient assistance programs Co-localize services (primary care, medical homes, drug treatment)
Lack of practitioner expertise	Collaboration with specialists (eg, via Project ECHO-like models and telemedicine) Develop accessible and clear HCV treatment guidelines Develop electronic health record performance measures and clinical decision support tools (eg, pop-up reminders and standing orders)

Testing and Linkage to Care Figure 1. CDC Recommended Testing Sequence for Identifying Current HCV Infection



* For persons who might have been exposed to HCV within the past 6 months, testing for HCV RNA or follow-up testing for HCV antibody should be performed. For persons who are immunocompromised, testing for HCV RNA should be performed.

† To differentiate past, resolved HCV infection from biologic false positivity for HCV antibody, testing with another HCV antibody assay can be considered. Repeat HCV RNA testing if the person tested is suspected to have had HCV exposure within the past 6 months or has clinical evidence of HCV disease, or if there is concern regarding the handling or storage of the test specimen.

Adapted from Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC), 2013. (Centers for Disease Control and Prevention [CDC], 2013)

COMING SOON: When to Treat: Target Launch – Late June/Early July 2014

COMING SOON: When to Treat: Target Launch – Late June/Early July 2014

INITIAL TREATMENT OF HCV INFECTION IN PATIENTS STARTING TREATMENT

*/

Expansions and notes for abbreviations used in this section can be found in Methods Table 3.

A summary of recommendations for initial treatment is found in the BOX***.***

This section provides guidance on the recommended initial treatments for persons with chronic HCV infection who are naive to HCV treatment or who have achieved an undetectable level of virus during a prior treatment course of PEG/RBV and relapsed (relapsers). Although PEG/RBV relapsers are being retreated, their treatment recommendations are presently the same as for persons being treated for the first time as described below. This section assumes that ***a decision to treat has been made*** and provides guidance regarding optimal treatment. In many instances, however, it may be advisable to delay treatment for some patients with documented early fibrosis stage (F 0-2), because waiting for future highly effective, pangenotypic, DAA combinations in IFN-free regimens may be prudent. Potential advantages of waiting to begin treatment will be provided in a future update to this guidance.

The level of evidence available to inform the best treatment decisions for each patient varies, as does the strength of the recommendation, and is graded accordingly (see **Methods Table 2**). In addition, when treatment differs for a particular group, such as those infected with specific HCV genotypes, specific recommendations are given. A regimen is classified as either "Recommended" when it is favored for most patients or "Alternative" when optimal in a particular subset of patients in that category. When a treatment is clearly inferior or is deemed harmful, it is classified as "Not Recommended." Unless otherwise indicated, such regimens should not be administered to patients with HCV infection. Specific considerations of persons with HIV/HCV coinfection, compensated and decompensated cirrhosis (moderate or severe hepatic impairment; CTP class B or C), post-liver transplant HCV, and those with severe renal impairment or ESRD are addressed in other sections of the document.

As always, patients receiving antiviral therapy require careful pretreatment assessment for comborbidities that may influence treatment response. All patients should have careful monitoring during treatment, particularly for anemia if ribavirin is included in the regimen.

I. Genotype 1

Recommended regimen for treatment-naive patients with HCV genotype 1 who are eligible to receive IFN.

Daily sofosbuvir (400 mg) and weight-based RBV (1000 mg [<75 kg] to 1200 mg [≥75 kg]) plus weekly PEG for 12 weeks is recommended for IFN-eligible persons with HCV genotype 1 infection, regardless of subtype.

Rating: Class I, Level A

Sofosbuvir is a prodrug of a nucleotide analogue inhibitor of the HCV NS5B RNA-dependent RNA polymerase. The phase 3 NEUTRINO trial evaluated sofosbuvir (400 mg daily) in combination with PEG (2a) (180 ?g by subcutaneous injection weekly) and weight-based RBV (1000 mg to 1200 mg daily) for 12 weeks in 291 treatment-naive patients with chronic HCV genotype 1 infection. (Lawitz, 2013b) The SVR12 for patients with genotype 1 infection was 89%. SVR12 did not differ substantially by baseline characteristic but was lower in patients with cirrhosis (80%) than in those without cirrhosis (92%). (Lawitz, 2013b)

Recommended regimen for treatment-naive patients with HCV genotype 1 who are not eligible to receive IFN.

Daily sofosbuvir (400 mg) plus simeprevir (150 mg), with or without weight-based RBV (1000 mg [<75 kg] to 1200 mg [≥75 kg] for 12 weeks is recommended for IFN-ineligible IFN ineligible is defined as one or more of the below:
• Intolerance to IFN
• Autoimmune hepatitis and other autoimmune disorders
• Hypersensitivity to PEG or any of its components
• Decompensated hepatic disease
• Major uncontrolled depressive illness
• A baseline neutrophil count below 1500/?L, a baseline platelet count below 90,000/?L or baseline hemoglobin below 10 g/dL
• A history of preexisting cardiac disease **patients with HCV genotype 1 infection, regardless of subtype.**

Rating: Class I, Level B

COSMOS is an ongoing phase 2 clinical trial of sofosbuvir (400 mg daily) plus simeprevir (150 mg daily), a specific inhibitor of the HCV NS3/4A serine protease, with or without RBV for 12 or 24 weeks. (Jacobson, 2013b) The study enrolled 2 cohorts: cohort 1 included patients with a prior null response to PEG/RBV with Metavir fibrosis stage of 0 or 2 (n=80); Cohort 2 included patients who were either treatment-naive or had a prior null response with Metavir fibrosis stage of 3 or 4 (n=87). In cohort 1, the 12-week treatment groups, SVR12 was 96% and 93% in patients treated with or without RBV, respectively. The 24-week treatment groups had SVR12 of 79.3% and 93% in patients treated with or without RBV, respectively. No viral breakthrough was observed in cohort 1 during treatment, and 3 patients experienced viral relapse after stopping therapy. All 3 patients with viral relapse were infected with HCV genotype 1a and had the Q80K polymorphism.

Preliminary SVR4 results are available for cohort 2. The 12-week treatment duration group had 100% SVR in treatment-naive patients treated with or without RBV, and 100% and 93.3% in prior null responder patients treated with or without RBV, respectively. No viral breakthrough was observed during treatment; 1 patient infected with HCV genotype 1a/Q80K experienced viral relapse after stopping therapy. No SVR

data are yet available from cohort 2, which received 24 weeks of treatment.

Among patients who had viral relapse, simeprevir (protease) resistance-associated variants have been observed; sofosbuvir (polymerase) resistance-associated variants have not been detected. Safety data have been presented for all 167 patients treated. The combination was well tolerated, with only 2.4% of patients prematurely discontinuing therapy due to adverse events. Data on the use of simeprevir in patients with hepatic impairment are not available at this time.

For patients infected with genotype 1a HCV, baseline resistance testing for the Q80K polymorphism may be considered. However, in contrast to using simeprevir to treat a genotype 1a HCV patient with PEG/RBV when the mutation markedly alters the probability of an SVR, the finding of the Q80K polymorphism does not preclude treatment with simeprevir and sofosbuvir, because the SVR rate was high in patients with genotype 1a/Q80K infection (SVR12 rate for cohort 1 was 86% [24 of 28 patients]; SVR4 rate for cohort 2 was 90% [10 of 11 patients]). To date, virologic failure has not been observed in patients in either cohort infected with HCV genotype 1b and with HCV genotype 1a in the absence of the Q80K polymorphism. Thus Q80K testing can be considered but is not strongly recommended.

This regimen should be considered only in those patients who require immediate treatment, because it is anticipated that safer and more effective IFN-free regimens will be available by 2015.

Alternative regimens for treatment-naive patients with HCV genotype 1 who are eligible to receive IFN.

Daily simeprevir (150 mg) for 12 weeks and weight-based RBV (1000 mg [<75 kg] to 1200 mg [≥75 kg]) plus weekly PEG for 24 weeks is an acceptable regimen for IFN-eligible persons with either

1. *HCV genotype 1b or*
2. *HCV genotype 1a infection in whom the Q80K polymorphism is not detected prior to treatment.*

Rating: Class IIa, Level A

Two randomized, placebo-controlled phase 3 trials evaluated the efficacy and safety of simeprevir (150 mg once daily) for 12 weeks plus PEG and weight-based RBV for a total of 24 weeks (RGT design found no advantage to extending PEG/RBV to 48 weeks). (Jacobson, 2013a); (Poordad, 2013)

In both studies, SVR24 rates were significantly higher among the simeprevir-containing arms (80% to 81%) than in the non-simeprevir-containing arms (50%). If the HCV RNA at week 4 of treatment is less than 25 IU/mL, therapy should be continued to week 24. If the HCV RNA is greater than 25 IU/mL at treatment week 4 or any treatment week thereafter, the regimen should be discontinued. In patients with HCV genotype 1a infection, the presence of a naturally occurring NS3-4A protease polymorphism (Q80K) prior

to treatment was associated with a substantial reduction in SVR among patients treated with simeprevir. A statistically significant difference in SVR12 rates exists between simeprevir-treated persons who are infected with HCV genotype 1a but do not have the Q80K polymorphism and placebo-treated patients who likewise have no such polymorphism. This difference was noted in both the pooled treatment-naive studies and the relapser study (SVR rates of 84% versus 43%, respectively [treatment-naive study] and 78% versus 24%, respectively [relapse study]). The overall SVR in the subgroup of patients with baseline Q80K polymorphism was no better than that in the placebo group. In the United States, persons with genotype 1a HCV infection have a high prevalence of Q80K polymorphism. Because these persons may require alternative therapy, baseline testing for Q80K is recommended for all patients before treatment with the simeprevir plus PEG/RBV regimen is initiated.

For the simeprevir plus PEG/RBV treatment regimen, if the HCV RNA at week 4 of treatment is less than 25 IU/mL, therapy should be continued to week 24. If the HCV RNA is greater than 25 IU/mL at treatment week 4 or any treatment week thereafter, the regimen should be discontinued.

Alternative regimens for treatment-naive patients with HCV genotype 1 who are not eligible to receive IFN.

Daily sofosbuvir (400 mg) and weight-based RBV (1000 mg [<75 kg] to 1200 mg [≥75 kg]) for 24 weeks is an acceptable regimen for IFN-ineligible IFN ineligible is defined as one or more of the below:

- Intolerance to IFN
- Autoimmune hepatitis and other autoimmune disorders
- Hypersensitivity to PEG or any of its components
- Decompensated hepatic disease
- Major uncontrolled depressive illness
- A baseline neutrophil count below 1500/?L, a baseline platelet count below 90,000/?L or baseline hemoglobin below 10 g/dL
- A history of preexisting cardiac disease **persons with HCV genotype 1 infection, regardless of subtype; however, preliminary data suggest that this regimen may be less effective than daily sofosbuvir (400 mg) plus simeprevir (150 mg), particularly among patients with cirrhosis.**

Rating: Class IIb, Level B

Sofosbuvir plus RBV was evaluated in 60 treatment-naive patients with HCV genotype 1 with unfavorable treatment characteristics (eg, African American race and advanced fibrosis). (Osinusi, 2013) In part 1 of the study, 10 participants with early to moderate liver fibrosis were treated with sofosbuvir (400 mg daily) plus weight-based RBV for 24 weeks. Nine participants (90%) achieved SVR24. In part 2, 50 participants with any stage of liver fibrosis were randomized 1:1 to receive 400 mg sofosbuvir with RBV either weight-based or low-dose (600 mg daily) for 24 weeks; SVR24 was 68% (17/25) in the weight-based group and 48% (12/25) in the low-dose group. The regimens used in part 2 of this study were well tolerated, with no

discontinuations due to adverse events. Seven of the 13 participants (54%) with advanced liver fibrosis treated in this study relapsed, including all 4 with cirrhosis.

Several additional studies have evaluated the effectiveness of sofosbuvir in persons with HCV genotype 1. In the QUANTUM trial, 38 treatment-naive patients with HCV genotype 1 who did not have cirrhosis were assigned either 12 (n=19) or 24 (n=19) weeks of sofosbuvir (400 mg daily) and weight-based RBV. (Lalezari, 2013) Ten of 19 (53%) in the 12-week arm and 9 of 19 (47%) subjects in the 24-week arm achieved SVR12 (overall 50%). In the ELECTRON trial, 25 treatment-naive subjects with HCV genotype 1 who did not have cirrhosis received sofosbuvir plus RBV for 12 weeks. Twenty-one (84%) achieved SVR12. (Gane, 2013b) In the PHOTON-1 trial, 86 of 113 (76%) treatment-naive subjects with genotype 1 HCV/HIV coinfection achieved SVR12 with sofosbuvir plus RBV for 24 weeks. (Sulkowski, 2013c) Taken together, in a total of 211 subjects, the range of SVR for regimens incorporating sofosbuvir plus daily weight-based RBV (1000 mg to 1200 mg) for up to 24 weeks in treatment-naive persons with HCV genotype 1 was 50% to 84%, with an overall SVR of 72%. Sofosbuvir resistance-associated amino acid variants have not been detected among those patients treated with this combination who did not achieve SVR.

This regimen should be considered only in those patients who require immediate treatment. It is estimated that the FDA will approve safer and more effective IFN-free regimens by 2015.

The following regimens are NOT recommended for treatment-naive patients with HCV genotype 1.

PEG/RBV with or without telaprevir or boceprevir for 24 to 48 weeks

Rating: Class IIb, Level A

Monotherapy with PEG, RBV, or a DAA

Rating: Class III, Level A

Although regimens of PEG/RBV plus telaprevir or boceprevir for 24 to 48 weeks using RGT are also FDA approved, they are markedly inferior to the preferred and alternative regimens. These regimens are associated with their higher rates of serious adverse events (eg, anemia and rash), longer treatment duration, high pill burden, numerous drug-drug interactions, frequency of dosing, intensity of monitoring for continuation and stopping of therapy, and the requirement to be taken with food or with high-fat meals.

PEG/RBV for 48 weeks for treatment-naive subjects with HCV genotype 1 has been superseded by treatments incorporating DAAs and should not be used.

II. Genotype 2

Recommended regimen for treatment-naive patients with HCV genotype 2, regardless of eligibility for IFN therapy:

Daily sofosbuvir (400 mg) and weight-based RBV (1000 mg [<75 kg] to 1200 mg [≥75 kg]) for 12 weeks is recommended for treatment-naive patients with HCV genotype 2 infection.

Rating: Class I, Level A

Sofosbuvir (400 mg daily) was combined with weight-based RBV (1000 mg to 1200 mg) to treat HCV genotype 2 treatment-naive patients across 3 clinical trials: FISSION, POSITRON, and VALENCE. (Lawitz, 2013b); (Jacobson, 2013c); (Zeuzem, 2013b) The FISSION study randomized patients to daily PEG/RBV (800 mg) for 24 weeks or sofosbuvir plus daily weight-based RBV (1000 mg to 1200 mg). (Lawitz, 2013b) The SVR was higher (94%) in patients who received sofosbuvir plus RBV compared with those who received PEG/RBV (78%) (52/67). Across all 3 trials, 201 of 214 (94%) patients with HCV genotype 2 achieved SVR with sofosbuvir plus RBV. Among patients who did not achieve SVR, sofosbuvir resistance-associated amino acid variants were not detected. (US FDA, 2013a)

Alternative Regimens for treatment-naive patients with genotype 2:

None

The following regimens are NOT recommended for treatment-naive patients with HCV genotype 2.

PEG/RBV for 24 weeks

Rating: Class IIb, Level A

Monotherapy with PEG, RBV, or a DAA

Rating: Class III, Level A

Telaprevir-, boceprevir-, or simeprevir-based regimens

Rating: Class III, Level A

PEG (2a) (180 µg weekly) or PEG (2b) (1.5 µg/kg weekly) plus RBV (800 mg daily) for 24 weeks was directly compared with sofosbuvir (400 mg daily) plus weight-based RBV (1000 mg to 1200 mg daily) in the

FISSION trial. (Lawitz, 2013b) The SVR12 achieved with PEG/RBV was lower than that achieved with sofosbuvir/RBV overall (78% and 95%, respectively) and in the subgroups of patients with or without cirrhosis. Safety and tolerability of PEG/RBV was inferior to the profile observed with sofosbuvir and RBV, with greater frequency of reported adverse events and laboratory abnormalities as well as a higher rate of treatment due to adverse events. Further, the duration of therapy with PEG/RBV is 12 weeks longer than that of sofosbuvir plus RBV.

Due to their poor in vitro and in vivo activity, boceprevir and simeprevir should not be used as therapy for patients with HCV genotype 2 infection. Although telaprevir combined with PEG/RBV has antiviral activity against HCV genotype 2, (Foster, 2011) the additional side effects and longer duration of therapy do not support use of this regimen.

III. Genotype 3

Recommended regimen for treatment-naive patients with HCV genotype 3, regardless of eligibility for IFN therapy:

Daily sofosbuvir (400 mg) and weight-based RBV (1000 mg [<75 kg] to 1200 mg [≥75 kg]) for 24 weeks is recommended for treatment-naive patients with HCV genotype 3 infection.

Rating: Class I, Level B

The VALENCE study assessed the efficacy and safety of sofosbuvir (400 mg daily) plus RBV for 24 weeks in 250 treatment-naive (42%) and treatment-experienced (58%) subjects with HCV genotype 3 infection. The overall SVR12 was 84% and was higher among treatment-naive than treatment-experienced patients (93% versus 77%, respectively). These results suggest higher response rates can be achieved with a 24-week duration of sofosbuvir plus RBV than those reported for the 12- or 16-week durations studied in the FISSION (Lawitz, 2013b) (12 weeks, SVR12: 63%), POSITRON, (Jacobson, 2013c) (12 weeks, SVR 12: 61%) and FUSION (12 weeks, SVR12: 30%, 16 weeks, SVR12: 62%) trials. The primary reason for the higher SVR with extended therapy among treatment-naive patients was a reduction in the relapse rate from 40% to 5%. In sub-analysis, response rates were similarly high among those with (n=45) and without (n=100) cirrhosis (92% and 93%, respectively).

Alternative regimens for treatment-naive patients with genotype 3 who are eligible to receive IFN.

Daily sofosbuvir (400 mg) and weight-based RBV (1000 mg [<75 kg] to 1200 mg [≥75 kg]) plus weekly PEG for 12 weeks is an acceptable regimen for IFN-eligible persons with HCV genotype 3.

Rating: Class IIa, Level A

The combination of sofosbuvir plus PEG/RBV has been evaluated in patients with genotype 3 infection. In 2 phase 2 clinical trials, PROTON and ELECTRON, 38 of 39 (97%) treatment-naive patients with genotype 3 infection achieved SVR with sofosbuvir plus PEG (4 to 12 weeks of therapy)/RBV. (Gane, 2013b) For many patients with genotype 3, the adverse effects and increased monitoring requirements of PEG make this less acceptable than the recommended regimen of sofosbuvir plus weight-based RBV.

The following regimens are NOT recommended for treatment-naive patients with HCV genotype 3.

PEG/RBV for 24 to 48 weeks

Rating: Class IIb, Level A

Monotherapy with PEG, RBV, or a DAA

Rating: Class III, Level A

Telaprevir-, boceprevir-, or simeprevir-based regimens should not be used for patients with genotype 3 HCV infection.

Rating: Class III, Level A

Although the combination of PEG/RBV is an FDA-approved regimen for HCV genotype 3, its less acceptable adverse effect profile, requirement for more intensive monitoring, and overall lower efficacy make it less desirable than the recommended regimen.

Because of their limited in vitro and in vivo activity against genotype 3, boceprevir, telaprevir, and simeprevir should not be used as therapy for patients with HCV genotype 3 infection.

IV. Genotype 4

Few data are available to help guide decision-making in patients infected with HCV genotype 4. Nonetheless, for those patients for whom immediate treatment is required, the following recommendations have been drawn from available data.

Recommended regimen for treatment-naive patients with HCV genotype 4 who are eligible to receive IFN.

Daily sofosbuvir (400 mg) and weight-based RBV (1000 mg [<75 kg] to 1200 mg [≥75 kg]) plus weekly PEG for 12 weeks is recommended for IFN-eligible persons

with HCV genotype 4 infection.

Rating: Class IIa, Level B

In the Phase 3 NEUTRINO trial, (Lawitz, 2013b) 28 treatment-naive patients with HCV genotype 4 infection were treated with sofosbuvir (400 mg daily) plus PEG (2a) (180 µg weekly) and weight-based RBV (1000 mg 1200 mg once daily) for 12 weeks. Of the 28 patients with genotype 4, 27 (96%) achieved SVR12. The one patient who did not achieve SVR had cirrhosis and relapsed after therapy. The adverse event profile was similar to that seen with PEG/RBV therapy.

Recommended regimen for treatment-naive patients with genotype 4 who are not eligible to receive IFN.

Daily sofosbuvir (400 mg) plus weight-based RBV (1000 mg [<75 kg] to 1200 mg [≥75 kg]) for 24 weeks is recommended for IFN-ineligible IFN ineligible is defined as one or more of the below:
• Intolerance to IFN
• Autoimmune hepatitis and other autoimmune disorders
• Hypersensitivity to PEG or any of its components
• Decompensated hepatic disease
• Major uncontrolled depressive illness
• A baseline neutrophil count below 1500/?L, a baseline platelet count below 90,000/?L or baseline hemoglobin below 10 g/dL
• A history of preexisting cardiac disease **patients with HCV genotype 4 infection.**

Rating: Class IIb, Level B

In a small study of Egyptian patients in the United States treated with sofosbuvir plus weight-based RBV (1000 mg to 1200 mg), SVR12 was achieved in 11 of 14 (79%) treatment-naive patients treated for 12 weeks; SVR24 was achieved in 100% of the 14 treatment-naive patients treated for 24 weeks. (Ruane, 2013)

Alternative regimens for treatment-naive patients with HCV genotype 4 who are eligible to receive IFN.

Daily simeprevir (150 mg) for 12 weeks and weight-based RBV (1000 mg [<75 kg] to 1200 mg [≥75 kg]) plus weekly PEG for 24 to 48 weeks is an alternative regimen for IFN-eligible persons with HCV genotype 4 infection.

Rating: Class IIb, Level B

A Phase 3 trial in patients with HCV genotype 4 is currently under way. This trial compares PEG and weight-based RBV (1000 mg to 1200 mg) for 48 weeks with a 12-week regimen of simeprevir 150 mg once daily plus PEG and weight-based RBV (1000 mg to 1200 mg) followed by an additional 12 or 36 weeks of PEG/RBV alone. (Moreno, 2013) In another study, the RESTORE trial, an RGT approach is used in place of the simeprevir arm. Patients who have HCV RNA below 25 IU/mL at week 4 and undetectable HCV RNA by week 12 continue PEG/RBV for an additional 12 weeks, and those who do not achieve this response continue PEG/RBV for an additional 36 weeks (total 48 weeks of therapy). The study has enrolled 107 patients, of whom 35 are treatment-naive, including 2 with cirrhosis. To date, 10 of 11 patients (91%) who met criteria for shortened therapy have achieved SVR4, and 3 of 3 have achieved SVR12. To date, therapy has failed in 4 patients: 3 had detectable virus at the end of treatment and 1 experienced virologic relapse. Anemia was reported in 8.4% and hyperbilirubinemia in 1.9% of all study participants (n=107) (including treatment-experienced patients). Four serious adverse events were attributed to simeprevir. No episodes of rash were reported. (Moreno, 2013)

The following regimens are NOT recommended for treatment-naive patients with HCV genotype 4.

PEG/RBV for 48 weeks

Rating: Class IIb, Level A

Monotherapy with PEG, RBV, or a DAA

Rating: Class III, Level A

Telaprevir- or boceprevir-based regimens

Rating: Class III, Level A

PEG/RBV for 48 weeks was the previously recommended regimen for patients with HCV genotype 4. The addition of sofosbuvir (400 mg daily) to PEG/RBV increases response rates and markedly shortens therapy with no apparent additional adverse effects. The addition of simeprevir to PEG/RBV increases response rates with a minimal increase in adverse events and can shorten therapy to 24 weeks.

Because of their limited in vitro and in vivo activity against genotype 4, boceprevir or telaprevir should not be used as therapy for patients with HCV genotype 4 infection.

V. Genotype 5 or 6

Few data are available to help guide decision-making in patients infected with HCV genotype 5 or 6. Nonetheless, for those patients for whom immediate treatment is required, the following recommendations have been drawn from available data. No data are available to support the use of a non-PEG containing regimen for patients with HCV genotype 5 or 6 infection.

Recommended regimen for treatment-naive patients with HCV genotype 5 or 6.

Daily sofosbuvir (400 mg) and weight-based RBV (1000 mg [<75 kg] to 1200 mg [≥75 kg]) plus weekly PEG for 12 weeks is recommended for IFN-eligible persons with HCV genotype 5 or 6 infection.

Rating: Class IIa, Level B

In the Phase 3 NEUTRINO trial (Lawitz, 2013b), treatment-naive patients with genotypes 1 (n=291), 4 (n=28), 5 (n=1), and 6 (n=6) were treated with sofosbuvir (400 mg daily) plus PEG (2a) (180 µg per week) and weight-based RBV (1000 mg 1200 mg once daily) for 12 weeks. All 6 patients with HCV genotype 6 and the 1 patient with genotype 5 achieved SVR12. The adverse event profile in these patients and in the larger study population was similar to that seen with PEG/RBV therapy.

Alternative regimens for treatment-naive patients with HCV genotype 5 or 6.

Daily weight-based RBV (1000 mg [<75 kg] to 1200 mg [≥75 kg]) plus weekly PEG for 48 weeks is an acceptable regimen for persons infected with HCV genotype 5 or 6.

Rating: Class IIb, Level A

PEG/RBV for 48 weeks was the previously recommended regimen for patients infected with HCV genotype 5 or 6. Sofosbuvir has activity against genotypes 5 and 6, and when combined with PEG/RBV for 12 weeks led to SVR in the 6 patients in whom it was studied. (Lawitz, 2013b) The addition of sofosbuvir (400 mg daily) to PEG/RBV shortens duration of therapy with no apparent additional adverse effects and likely substantially increases response rates.

The following regimens are NOT recommended for treatment-naive patients with genotype 5 or 6 HCV.

Monotherapy with PEG, RBV, or a DAA

Rating: Class III, Level A

Telaprevir- or boceprevir-based regimens

Rating: Class III, Level A

Because of their limited activity in vitro and in vivo against genotypes 5 and 6, boceprevir or telaprevir should not be used as therapy for patients with genotype 5 or 6 HCV infection.

Initial Treatment Box. Summary of Recommendations for Patients Who are Initiating Therapy for HCV Infection or Who Experienced Relapse after Prior PEG/RBV Therapy, by HCV Genotype

*/

Initial Treatment Box. Summary of Recommendations for Patients Who are Initiating Therapy for HCV Infection or Who Experienced Relapse after Prior PEG/RBV Therapy, by HCV Genotype

Genotype	Recommended	Alternative	NOT Recommended
1	**IFN eligible:** SOF + PEG/RBV x 12 weeks IFN ineligible IFN ineligible is defined as one or more of the below: • Intolerance to IFN • Autoimmune hepatitis and other autoimmune disorders • Hypersensitivity to PEG or any of its components • Decompensated hepatic disease • Major uncontrolled depressive illness • A baseline neutrophil count below 1500/?L, a baseline platelet count below 90,000/?L or baseline hemoglobin below 10 g/dL • A history of preexisting cardiac disease: SOF + SMV ± RBV x 12 weeks	**IFN eligible:** SMV x 12 weeks + PEG/RBV x 24 weeks* IFN ineligible IFN ineligible is defined as one or more of the below: • Intolerance to IFN • Autoimmune hepatitis and other autoimmune disorders • Hypersensitivity to PEG or any of its components • Decompensated hepatic disease • Major uncontrolled depressive illness • A baseline neutrophil count below 1500/?L, a baseline platelet count below 90,000/?L or baseline hemoglobin below 10 g/dL • A history of preexisting cardiac disease: SOF + RBV x 24 weeks	TVR + PEG/RBV x 24 or 48 weeks (RGT) BOC + PEG/RBV x 28 or 48 weeks (RGT) PEG/RBV x 48 weeks Monotherapy with PEG, RBV, or a DAA Do not treat decompensated cirrhosis with PEG or SMV
2	SOF + RBV x 12 weeks	None	PEG/RBV x 24 weeks

			Monotherapy with PEG, RBV, or a DAA Any regimen with TVR, BOC, or SMV
3	SOF + RBV x 24 weeks	SOF + PEG/RBV x 12 weeks	PEG/RBV x 24-48 weeks Monotherapy with PEG, RBV, or a DAA Any regimen with TVR, BOC, or SMV
4	**IFN eligible:** SOF + PEG/RBV x 12 weeks IFN ineligible IFN ineligible is defined as one or more of the below: • Intolerance to IFN • Autoimmune hepatitis and other autoimmune disorders • Hypersensitivity to PEG or any of its components • Decompensated hepatic disease • Major uncontrolled depressive illness • A baseline neutrophil count below 1500/?L, a baseline platelet count below 90,000/?L or baseline hemoglobin below 10 g/dL • A history of preexisting cardiac disease: SOF + RBV x 24 weeks	SMV x 12 weeks + PEG/RBV x 24-48 weeks	PEG/RBV x 48 weeks Monotherapy with PEG, RBV, or a DAA Any regimen with TVR or BOC
5 or 6	SOF + PEG/RBV x 12 weeks	PEG/RBV x 48 weeks	Monotherapy with PEG, RBV, or a DAA Any regimen with TVR or BOC

For genotype 1a, baseline resistance testing for Q80K should be performed and alternative treatments considered if this mutation is present.

RETREATMENT OF PERSONS IN WHOM PRIOR THERAPY HAS FAILED

Expansions and notes for abbreviations used in this section can be found in Methods Table 3.

A summary of recommendations for retreatment is found in the* BOX*.

This section provides guidance on the retreatment of a person with chronic HCV infection in whom prior therapy has failed. In general, treatment responses of patients achieving an undetectable level of virus during a prior treatment course who relapse following cessation of therapy (**relapser**) are similar to those of treatment-naive persons (see Initial Treatment). Treatment responses are generally lower in prior **non-responders**, which includes null responders (those in whom serum HCV RNA levels declined less than 2 $\log_{10}$ IU/mL by week 12 during a prior treatment course) and partial responders (those with a $\geq$ 2 $\log_{10}$ IU/mL response whose virus remained detectable up to 24 weeks or the end of treatment). This section assumes that a ***decision to treat has been made*** and advises on the optimal treatment. In many instances, however, it may be advisable to delay treatment for some patients with documented early fibrosis stage (F 0-2), because waiting for future highly effective, pangenotypic, combinations in IFN-free regimens may be prudent. Potential advantages of waiting to begin to treatment will be provided in a future update to this guidance.

The level of the evidence supporting the best treatment for each patient and the corresponding confidence in the recommendation varies as does the strength of the recommendation, and is graded in the same manner as the section on initial treatment of treatment-naive patients (Methods Table 2). In addition, when treatment differs for a particular group (eg, those infected with various genotypes) specific recommendations are given. Regimens are classified as "Recommended" when it is favored for most patients or "Alternative" when it might be optimal in a particular subset of patients in that category. When a treatment is clearly inferior or should not be used, it is classified as "Not Recommended."

As always, patients receiving antiviral therapy require careful pretreatment assessment for comorbidities that may influence treatment response. All patients should have careful monitoring during treatment, particularly for anemia if ribavirin is included in the regimen.

I. Genotype 1

Recommended regimen for HCV genotype 1 PEG/RBV (without an HCV protease inhibitor) nonresponder patients:

Daily sofosbuvir (400 mg) plus simeprevir (150 mg), with or without weight-based RBV (1000 mg [<75 kg] to 1200 mg [≥75 kg]) for 12 weeks is recommended for retreatment of HCV genotype 1 infection, regardless of subtype or IFN eligibility.

Rating: Class IIa, Level B

Recommended regimen for HCV genotype 1 PEG/RBV (with an HCV protease inhibitor) nonresponder patients:

Daily sofosbuvir (400 mg) for 12 weeks plus weight-based RBV (1000 mg [<75 kg] to 1200 mg [≥75 kg]) and weekly PEG for 12 to 24 weeks is recommended for retreatment of HCV genotype 1 infection, regardless of subtype.

Rating: Class IIb, Level C

COSMOS is a phase 2a randomized trial in which participants received sofosbuvir (400 mg once daily) plus simeprevir (150 mg once daily) with or without weight-based RBV (1000 mg to 1200 mg daily) for 12 or 24 weeks (Jacobson, 2013b). Of the 80 null responders with a Metavir fibrosis stage of 2 or less included in this trial, 79% to 96% achieved SVR (79%-96% in RBV-containing arms and 93% in both RBV-free arms). Among those null responders with a Metavir fibrosis stage of 3 or 4 (n=47) who received 12 weeks of sofosbuvir and simeprevir, SVR4 was observed in 14 (93%) of 15 patients in the ribavirin-containing arm and 100% (all 7 participants) in the RBV-free arm. Although benefit from RBV is not apparent from these preliminary results, it cannot be excluded before availability of SVR12 data. Post-treatment results are not yet available for the 24-week arms. Excluding nonvirologic failures, patients with HCV genotype 1a with Q80K mutations had slightly lower numeric response rates (fibrosis stage 0-2: SVR12=89% [n=27]; fibrosis stage 3 or 4: SVR4=91% [n=11]) than genotype 1a patients without Q80K and genotype 1b (fibrosis stage 2: SVR12 100%, n=47; fibrosis stage 3 or 4: SVR4=100% [n=29]). However, because the study was not powered to assess this comparison, insufficient evidence exists on the role of testing for the Q80K mutation at this time. These regimens were well tolerated, although adverse events (eg, anemia and hyperbilirubinemia) were seen more often in patients on RBV-containing regimens. (Jacobson, 2013b)

The safety and efficacy of simeprevir have not been studied in HCV-infected patients with moderate or severe hepatic impairment (Child-Pugh Class B or C). The uncertain impact of cholestasis and the occasional association of SMV with elevated transaminases create potential for drug accumulation or impaired hepatic function during SMV use. Clinical trials with SMV have been limited to patients with compensated disease who have CTP class A, total bilirubin of 1.5 x ULN or lower, and transaminases 10 x ULN or lower. For these reasons, simeprevir use should be limited to patients with compensated liver disease. Use of simeprevir is not recommended in patients with moderate to severe hepatic impairment. The combination of PEG/RBV is contraindicated in patients with decompensated cirrhosis (moderate or severe hepatic impairment; CTP class B or C).

Alternative regimen for PEG/RBV (with or without an HCV protease inhibitor) nonresponder patients with HCV genotype 1.

Eligible to receive IFN:

Daily sofosbuvir (400 mg) for 12 weeks and weight-based RBV (1000 mg [<75 kg] to 1200 mg [≥75 kg]) plus weekly PEG for 12 to 24 weeks is an alternative for retreatment of IFN-eligible persons with HCV genotype 1 infection, regardless of subtype.

Rating: Class IIb, Level C

Ineligible to receive IFN:

Daily sofosbuvir (400 mg) for 24 weeks and weight-based RBV (1000 mg [<75 kg] to 1200 mg [≥75 kg]) for 24 weeks is an alternative for retreatment of IFN-ineligible persons with HCV genotype 1 infection, regardless of subtype.

Rating: Class IIb, Level C

NEUTRINO is an open-label, single-arm trial that evaluated 12 weeks of sofosbuvir plus PEG/RBV in treatment-naive subjects with HCV genotypes 1, 4, 5, or 6; 89% had HCV genotype 1, and 17% had cirrhosis. The SVR was 89% (261 of 292) and was somewhat lower in patients with genotype 1b than 1a (82% and 92%, respectively) and those with cirrhosis versus those without (80% versus 92%, respectively). (Lawitz, 2013a) Treatment-experienced subjects who did not respond to PEG/RBV with or without an HCV protease inhibitor were not included in this study. There are no data available to estimate the response in patients who have been previously treated with a protease inhibitor. However, among patients who were previously treated with PEG/RBV, the FDA estimates that the response rate in such patients would approximate the observed response rate in those NEUTRINO subjects with baseline factors traditionally associated with a lower response to IFN-based treatment. (US FDA, 2013a) In the NEUTRINO trial, SVR rate was 71% among participants with HCV genotype 1 with IL28B non-C/C alleles, high HCV RNA levels, and METAVIR 1 fibrosis stage F3 or F4 (37 of 52 patients). (Gilead Sciences, 2013; Sovaldi package insert)

In a prospective, multicenter trial of sofosbuvir (400 mg/day) plus RBV (ascending dose of 400 mg/day, escalating based on hemoglobin level) including treatment-experienced patients with recurrent HCV infection after liver transplantation, SVR12 was 70% in this population. (Charlton, 2013a)

Alternative regimen for PEG/RBV (without an HCV protease inhibitor) nonresponder patients with HCV genotype 1 who are eligible to receive IFN.

Daily simeprevir (150 mg) for 12 weeks plus weight-based RBV (1000 mg [<75 kg] to 1200 mg [≥75 kg]) and weekly PEG for 48 weeks is an alternative for IFN-eligible persons with HCV genotype 1 infection. (All patients with cirrhosis who are receiving simeprevir should have well compensated liver disease.)

Rating: Class IIa, Level A

Simeprevir was combined with PEG/RBV in patients who had previously failed to respond to PEG/RBV dual therapy in the Phase 2b ASPIRE trial. (Zeuzem, 2013a); (Janssen Therapeutics, 2013) (www.fda.gov; package insert). SVR24 after 48 weeks of triple therapy in the simeprevir 150 mg/day arm was 65% in patients with a previous partial response (n=23) and 53% in patients with a prior null response (n=17). Patients with HCV genotype 1a infection had inferior response rates compared with those with genotype 1b (SVR24: 47% vs 77% in patients with a partial response and 41% vs 47% in patients with a null response, respectively). Despite lower SVR in patients with HCV genotype 1a infection, SVR rates were similar with and without the presence of the Q80K mutations at baseline. SVR rates in patients with advanced fibrosis (METAVIR stage F3 or F4) treated with simeprevir (150 mg daily) plus PEG/RBV for 48 weeks were 59% in patients with a partial response (n=33) and 35% in patients with a null response (n=34). Safety in patients exposed to simeprevir was similar to that of persons in the placebo arms; however, there was a higher incidence of hyperbilirubinemia (8%) and photosensitivity/rash (5%). (Zeuzem, 2013a)

The safety and efficacy of simeprevir have not been studied in HCV-infected patients with moderate or severe hepatic impairment (Child-Pugh Class B or C). The uncertain impact of cholestasis and the occasional association of simeprevir with elevated transaminases pose potential for impaired hepatic function during simeprevir use. Clinical trials with simeprevir have been limited to patients with compensated disease who have CTP class A, total bilirubin level of 1.5 x ULN or lower, and transaminase level of 10 x ULN or lower. For these reasons, simeprevir use should be limited to patients with compensated liver disease. Use of simeprevir is not recommended in patients with moderate to severe hepatic impairment. Use of the drug in this population is not recommended at this time. The combination of PEG/RBV is contraindicated in patients with decompensated cirrhosis (moderate or severe hepatic impairment; CTP class B or C).

The following regimens are NOT recommended for PEG/RBV (with or without an HCV protease inhibitor) nonresponder patients with HCV genotype 1:

PEG/RBV with or without telaprevir or boceprevir

Rating: Class IIb, Level A

Monotherapy with PEG, RBV, or a DAA

Rating: Class III, Level A

For nonresponder patients with genotype 1 and a history of decompensated cirrhosis (moderate or severe hepatic impairment; CTP class B or C), treatment is not indicated because of the risks of PEG and boceprevir and telaprevir in this population.

Triple therapy with boceprevir plus PEG/RBV for 48 weeks may result in SVR for up to 52% of PEG/RBV partial responders (RESPOND 2; (Bacon, 2011)) and 38% of null responders (PROVIDE; (Di Bisceglie, 2013)). Similarly, telaprevir plus PEG/RBV resulted in SVR24 of 54% to 59% among partial responders and an SVR24 of 29% to 33% among null responders (REALIZE; (Zeuzem, 2011)). Due to the relatively poor efficacy, prolonged duration of therapy (48 weeks), and poor tolerability, these regimens are no longer recommended.

Monotherapy with PEG, RBV, or any of the available DAAs is ineffective; further, DAA monotherapy leads to rapid selection of resistant variants.

Patients with advanced liver disease are at increased risk for sepsis, worsening decompensation, and death when treated with dual or triple IFN-based therapy. (Crippin, 2002); (Coilly, 2014) Simeprevir is primarily metabolized by the liver and should not be used in patients with advanced cirrhosis (CTP B or C), as the AUC is increased 2.4- to 5.2-fold. (Janssen Therapeutics, 2013) (Olysio package insert, Janssen).

II. Genotype 2

Recommended regimen for genotype 2 PEG/RBV nonresponders.

Daily sofosbuvir (400 mg) and weight-based RBV (1000 mg [<75 kg] to 1200 mg [≥75 kg]) for 12 weeks is recommended for retreatment of HCV genotype 2 infection. (Patients with cirrhosis may benefit by extension of treatment to 16 weeks.)

Rating: Class I, Level A

High SVR12 rates have been demonstrated in non-cirrhotic genotype 2 treatment-experienced patients who received 12 weeks of sofosbuvir plus RBV. Limited data are available in cirrhotic genotype 2 treatment experienced patients; however, in the FUSION study, numerically higher SVR12 rates were seen with extension of therapy from 12 weeks (60%) to 16 weeks (78%). (Jacobson, 2013b) In contrast, the VALENCE trial found high SVR12 rates among HCV genotype 2-infected persons with cirrhosis after only 12 weeks of sofosbuvir plus RBV (88%). (Zeuzem, 2013b) Thus, at this time definitive recommendations on the appropriate duration of sofosbuvir and RBV for treatment-experienced, HCV genotype 2-infected persons with cirrhosis cannot be made. The decision to extend therapy to 16 weeks should be made on a case-by-case basis.

Alternative regimen for PEG/RBV nonresponder patients with HCV genotype 2 infection who are eligible to receive IFN.

Retreatment with daily sofosbuvir (400 mg) and weight-based RBV (1000 mg [<75

kg] to 1200 mg [≥75 kg]) plus weekly PEG for 12 weeks is an alternative for IFN-eligible persons with HCV genotype 2 infection.

Rating: Class IIa Level B

Recognizing the potential limitations of sofosbuvir plus RBV in harder-to-treat genotype 2 nonresponders, particularly those with cirrhosis, combination therapy with PEG has been studied. The LONESTAR-2 trial (an open-label, single site, single-arm phase 2 trial) evaluated PEG (180 ?g weekly), sofosbuvir (400 mg daily), and weight-based RBV (1000 mg to 1200 mg daily in 2 divided doses for 12 weeks) in treatment-experienced patients with HCV genotype 2 or 3. Cirrhosis was present at baseline in 61% of patients. SVR12 was achieved in 22 (96%) of 23 persons with genotype 2 HCV infection. For patients with and without cirrhosis, SVR occurred in 13 (93%) of 14 and 9 (100%) of 9, respectively. Despite the limitations of this small study (and accounting for the potential challenges inherent with IFN therapy), combination PEG plus sofosbuvir and RBV is an alternative 12-week regimen for genotype 2-infected patients with cirrhosis.

The following regimens are NOT recommended for nonresponder patients with HCV genotype 2.

PEG/RBV with or without telaprevir, boceprevir or simeprevir

Rating: Class IIb, Level A

Monotherapy with PEG, RBV, or a DAA

Rating: Class III, Level A

No HCV protease inhibitors have been approved or are indicated for the treatment of genotype 2 infection. Although PEG/RBV has been the mainstay of treatment of genotype 2, it requires a longer duration of therapy, is less efficacious, and has more adverse effects than the regimen recommended above.

III. Genotype 3

Recommended regimen for HCV genotype 3 PEG/RBV nonresponders.

Daily sofosbuvir (400 mg) and weight-based RBV (1000 mg [<75 kg] to 1200 mg [≥75 kg]) for 24 weeks is recommended for retreatment of HCV genotype 3 infection.

Rating: Class IIa, Level A

The phase 3 FUSION trial compared 12 weeks (n=103) with 16 weeks (n=98) of daily sofosbuvir (400 mg) and weight-based RBV (1000 mg to 1200 mg) in genotype 2 or 3 HCV-infected patients in whom previous PEG/RBV therapy had failed. Of patients, 63% had genotype 3; 34% of all patients had cirrhosis. Because persons who had experienced prior relapses to IFN-based therapy accounted for 75% of patients, the number of patients with a prior nonresponse in the study was limited. The SVR rate for genotype 3 patients in the 12-week arm was 30% (19% among patients with cirrhosis and 37% among those without cirrhosis). Extending therapy to 16 weeks increased the SVR rate among genotype 3 patients to 62% (61% among patients with and 63% in those without cirrhosis).

Based on results from FUSION, the phase 3 multicenter, randomized placebo-controlled VALENCE trial was amended to evaluate the effect of extending sofosbuvir plus RBV therapy to 24 weeks in all patients with HCV genotype 3. As with the FUSION study, most (65%) treatment-experienced patients had relapsed. The SVR12 rates after 24 weeks of therapy for treatment-experienced patients with genotype 3 was 79% (60% among patients with and 87% in those without cirrhosis). The increased efficacy with 24 weeks of sofosbuvir plus RBV therapy across all fibrosis stages combined with a favorable safety and tolerability profile supports the recommendation to use 24 weeks of sofosbuvir plus RBV in all genotype 3 patients despite the minimal number of patients studied to date. The response rate for HCV genotype 3-infected patients with cirrhosis treated for 24 weeks in the VALENCE trial (60%) was similar to that observed after 16 weeks of treatment in the FUSION trial (61%). Although longer treatment duration with a well-tolerated regimen may potentially be more successful in these more difficult-to-treat patients, data remain limited. Either duration of treatment is considered acceptable at this time (see below).

Alternate regimen for HCV genotype 3 PEG/RBV nonresponder patients who are eligible to receive IFN.

Retreatment with daily sofosbuvir (400 mg) and weight-based RBV (1000 mg [<75 kg] to 1200 mg [≥75 kg]) plus weekly PEG for 12 weeks is an alternative for IFN-eligible persons with HCV genotype 3 infection.

Rating: Class IIa Level B

Choice of specific regimen may be influenced by previous or anticipated tolerance to PEG or the presence of advanced fibrosis or cirrhosis. For most patients, the ease of administration and tolerability of sofosbuvir plus RBV will outweigh any potential benefit associated with the addition of PEG. However, for HCV genotype 3-infected patients who have cirrhosis, responses to sofosbuvir and RBV alone for 24 weeks were suboptimal.

In the LONESTAR-2 study, adding 12 weeks of PEG to the sofosbuvir and RBV regimen resulted in numerically higher response rates among persons with HCV genotype 3 than those obtained with sofosbuvir and RBV for 24 weeks. Of HCV genotype 3-infected patients with and without cirrhosis, 10 (83%) of 12 achieved SVR. Given the limited number of patients in this demographic in both the VALENCE and LONESTAR-2 studies, these differences in response rates should be interpreted with caution.

The following regimens are NOT recommended for nonresponder patients with HCV genotype 3 infection.

PEG/RBV with or without telaprevir, boceprevir or simeprevir

Rating: Class IIb, Level A

Monotherapy with PEG, RBV, or a DAA

Rating: Class III, Level A

No HCV protease inhibitors have been approved or are indicated for the treatment of genotype 3 HCV infection. Although PEG/RBV has been the mainstay of treatment of genotype 3 HCV, it is less efficacious and has more adverse effects than the recommended regimens.

IV. Genotypes 4, 5, and 6

***Recommended regimen for HCV genotype 4, PEG/RBV nonresponder patients*.**

Daily sofosbuvir (400 mg) for 12 weeks and daily weight-based RBV (1000 mg [<75 kg] to 1200 mg [≥75 kg]) plus weekly PEG for 12 weeks is recommended for retreatment of IFN-eligible persons with HCV genotype 4 infection

Rating: Class IIa, Level C

***Alternate regimen for HCV genotype 4, PEG/RBV nonresponder patients*.**

Daily sofosbuvir (400 mg) and weight-based RBV (1000 mg [<75 kg] to 1200 mg [≥75 kg]) for 24 weeks is recommended for retreatment of HCV genotype 4 infection.

Rating: Class IIa, Level B

The following regimens are NOT recommended for nonresponder patients with genotype 4

HCV infection.

PEG/RBV with or without telaprevir or boceprevir

Rating: Class IIb, Level A

Monotherapy with PEG, RBV, or a DAA

Rating: Class III, Level A

Recommended regimen for HCV genotype 5 or 6, PEG/RBV nonresponder patients.

Daily sofosbuvir (400 mg) for 12 weeks and daily weight-based RBV (1000 mg [<75 kg] to 1200 mg [≥75 kg]) plus weekly PEG for 12 weeks is recommended for retreatment of IFN-eligible persons with HCV genotype 5 or 6 infection.

Rating: Class IIa, Level C

Alternate regimen for PEG/RBV nonresponder patients with HCV genotype 5 or 6.

None

The following regimens are NOT recommended for nonresponder patients with HCV genotype 5 or 6.

PEG/RBV with or without telaprevir or boceprevir

Rating: Class IIb, Level A

Monotherapy with PEG, RBV, or a DAA

Rating: Class III, Level A

In the NEUTRINO trial, high SVR rates were seen in small numbers of treatment-naive patients with HCV genotypes 4, 5, and 6 treated with sofosbuvir plus PEG/RBV for 12 weeks (genotype 4: n=28, SVR=96%; genotype 5: n=1, SVR=100%; and genotype 6: n=6, SVR=100%). (Lawitz, 2013a) In a pilot study of treatment-experienced HCV genotype 4 patients of Egyptian ancestry, SVR12 was 59% in patients treated with sofosbuvir plus RBV for 12 weeks; SVR4 was 93% in patients treated for 24 weeks. In this cohort, 24% to 27% of patients had cirrhosis. (Ruane, 2013) The only available data with simeprevir for treatment-experienced patients with genotype 4 come from the ongoing RESTORE trial, in which patients (n=50) are receiving treatment with daily simeprevir 150 mg for 12 weeks plus PEG/RBV for a total of 48 weeks (10 prior partial responders, 40 prior null responders). Interim analysis revealed a 40% to 49% RVR rate using this regimen. Final SVR results are pending. (Moreno, 2013) Given the relative paucity of data, expert consultation is needed to determine optimal duration of therapy in patients with genotype 4, 5, or 6 treated with sofosbuvir.

Explanations of highlighted changes made on March 21, 2014 are available here.

Retreatment Box. Recommendations for Patients in Whom Previous Treatment Has Failed

Retreatment Box. Recommendations for Patients in Whom Previous Treatment Has Failed†

Genotype	Recommended	Alternative	NOT Recommended
Patients in whom previous PEG/RBV has failed*			
1	SOF + SMV ± RBV x 12 weeks	SOF x 12 weeks + PEG/RBV x 12-24 weeks SOF + RBV x 24 weeks SMV x 12 weeks + PEG/RBV x 48 weeks**	PEG/RBV ± telaprevir or boceprevir Monotherapy with PEG, RBV, or a DAA Do not treat decompensated cirrhosis with PEG or SMV
2	SOF + RBV x 12 weeks	SOF + PEG/RBV x 12 weeks	PEG/RBV ± telaprevir or boceprevir Monotherapy with PEG, RBV, or a direct-acting antiviral agent Do not treat decompensated cirrhosis with PEG
3	SOF + RBV x 24 weeks	SOF + PEG/RBV x 12 weeks	PEG/RBV ± any current protease inhibitor Monotherapy with PEG, RBV, or a DAA Do not treat decompensated cirrhosis with PEG
4	SOF + PEG/RBV x 12 weeks	SOF + RBV x 24 weeks	PEG/RBV ± any current HCV protease inhibitor Monotherapy with PEG, RBV, or a DAA

			Do not treat decompensated cirrhosis with PEG
5 or 6	SOF x 12 weeks + PEG/RBV 12 weeks		PEG/RBV ± any current HCV protease inhibitor Monotherapy with PEG, RBV, or a DAA Do not treat decompensated cirrhosis with PEG
Patients in whom previous treatment with PEG/RBV plus either telaprevir or boceprevir has failed †† †††			
1	SOF x 12 weeks + PEG/RBV x 12-24 weeks	SOF + RBV x 24 weeks‡ SOF + PEG/RBV x 24 weeks‡‡	PEG/RBV ± telaprevir or boceprevir or SMV Monotherapy with PEG, RBV, or a DAA Do not treat decompensated cirrhosis with PEG or SMV

*Failure (non response) is defined as partial or null response to treatment with PEG/RBV. Relapse to prior therapy should be treated the same as treatment-naive (see Initial Treatment section)

**For genotype 1a, baseline resistance testing for Q80K should be performed and alternative treatments considered if this mutation is present

*** Failure (non response) is defined as partial or null response to treatment with PEG/RBV plus telaprevir or boceprevir. Relapse to prior therapy should be treated the same as treatment naive (see Initial Treatment section)

† Consideration should be given to postponing treatment, pending release of new drugs for patients with limited (F 0-2) hepatic fibrosis

†† A recommendation for simeprevir use for patients with previous telaprevir or boceprevir exposure not provided due to potential risk of preexistant resistance to protease inhibitor treatment.

††† Given the lack of prior approval of protease inhibitor therapy for genotypes 2, 3, 4, 5, 6 and the lack of sufficient data, no recommendations are given for these genotypes at this time

‡IFN ineligible

‡‡IFN eligible

COMING SOON: Monitoring Patients Who Are On or Have Completed Therapy: Target Launch – Summer 2014

COMING SOON: Monitoring Patients Who Are On or Have Completed Therapy: Target Launch – Summer 2014

Unique Patient Populations: HIV/HCV Coinfection Box. Recommendations for HIV/HCV Coinfected Patients Who are Being Treated for HCV, by Genotype

*/

Unique Patient Populations: HIV/HCV Coinfection Box. Recommendations for HIV/HCV Coinfected Patients Who are Being Treated for HCV, by Genotype

Genotype	Recommended	Alternative	NOT Recommended	Allowable Antiretroviral Therapy
1	**Treatment-naive and prior PEG/RBV relapsers** **IFN eligible:** SOF + PEG/RBV x 12 weeks IFN ineligible IFN ineligible is defined as one or more of the below: • Intolerance to IFN • Autoimmune hepatitis and other autoimmune disorders • Hypersensitivity to PEG or any of its components • Decompensated hepatic disease • Major uncontrolled depressive illness • A baseline neutrophil count below 1500/?L, a baseline platelet count below 90,000/?L or	**Treatment naive and prior PEG/RBV relapsers** **IFN eligible:** SMV x 12 weeks + PEG/RBV x 24 weeks* IFN ineligible IFN ineligible is defined as one or more of the below: • Intolerance to IFN • Autoimmune hepatitis and other autoimmune disorders • Hypersensitivity to PEG or any of its components • Decompensated hepatic disease • Major uncontrolled depressive illness • A baseline neutrophil count below 1500/?L, a baseline platelet count below	TVR + PEG/RBV x 24 or 48 weeks (RGT) BOC + PEG/RBV x 28 or 48 weeks (RGT) PEG/RBV x 48 weeks SMV x 12 weeks + PEG/RBV x 48 wks	**For SOF use:** ALL except didanosine, zidovudine, or tipranavir **For SMV use:** LIMITED to raltegravir, rilpivirine, maraviroc, enfuvirtide, tenofovir, emtricitabine, lamivudine, abacavir

baseline hemoglobin below 10 g/dL • A history of preexisting cardiac disease: SOF + RBV x 24 weeks SOF + SMV ± RBV x 12 weeks **Treatment experienced (prior PEG/RBV nonresponders) regardless of IFN eligibility:** SOF + SMV ± RBV x 12 weeks	90,000/?L or baseline hemoglobin below 10 g/dL • A history of preexisting cardiac disease: None **Treatment experienced (prior PEG/RBV nonresponders)** **IFN eligible:** SOF + PEG/RBV x 12 Weeks IFN ineligible IFN ineligible is defined as one or more of the below: • Intolerance to IFN • Autoimmune hepatitis and other autoimmune disorders • Hypersensitivity to PEG or any of its components • Decompensated hepatic disease • Major uncontrolled depressive illness • A baseline neutrophil count below 1500/?L, a baseline platelet count below 90,000/?L or baseline hemoglobin below 10 g/dL • A history of preexisting cardiac disease: SOF + RBV x 24 Weeks

2	SOF + RBV x 12 weeks regardless of treatment history	**Treatment naive and prior PEG/RBV relapsers**: None **Treatment experienced (prior PEG/RBV nonresponders)** **IFN eligible:** SOF + PEG/RBV X 12 Weeks IFN ineligible IFN ineligible is defined as one or more of the below: • Intolerance to IFN • Autoimmune hepatitis and other autoimmune disorders • Hypersensitivity to PEG or any of its components • Decompensated hepatic disease • Major uncontrolled depressive illness • A baseline neutrophil count below 1500/?L, a baseline platelet count below 90,000/?L or baseline hemoglobin below 10 g/dL • A history of preexisting cardiac disease: None	PEG/RBV x 24-48 weeks Any regimen with TVR, BOC, or SMV	ALL except didanosine, zidovudine, or tipranavir
3	SOF + RBV x 24 weeks regardless of treatment history	**Treatment naive and PEG/RBV relapsers:** None **Treatment**	PEG/RBV x 24 - 48 weeks Any regimen with TVR, BOC, or	ALL except didanosine, zidovudine, or tipranavir

		experienced (prior PEG/RBV nonresponders) **IFN eligible:** SOF + PEG/RBV X 12 Weeks IFN ineligible IFN ineligible is defined as one or more of the below: • Intolerance to IFN • Autoimmune hepatitis and other autoimmune disorders • Hypersensitivity to PEG or any of its components • Decompensated hepatic disease • Major uncontrolled depressive illness • A baseline neutrophil count below 1500/?L, a baseline platelet count below 90,000/?L or baseline hemoglobin below 10 g/dL • A history of preexisting cardiac disease: None	SMV	
4	**Regardless of treatment history:** **IFN eligible:** SOF + PEG/RBV x 12 weeks IFN ineligible IFN ineligible is defined as one or more of the below: • Intolerance to	None	PEG/RBV x 48 weeks Any regimen with TVR or BOC	ALL except didanosine, zidovudine, or tipranavir

	IFN • Autoimmune hepatitis and other autoimmune disorders • Hypersensitivity to PEG or any of its components • Decompensated hepatic disease • Major uncontrolled depressive illness • A baseline neutrophil count below 1500/?L, a baseline platelet count below 90,000/?L or baseline hemoglobin below 10 g/dL • A history of preexisting cardiac disease: SOF + RBV x 24 weeks			
5 or 6	**Regardless of treatment history:** SOF + PEG/RBV x 12 weeks	None	PEG/RBV x 48 weeks Any regimen with TVR, BOC, or SMV	ALL except didanosine, zidovudine, or tipranavir

*For genotype 1a, baseline resistance testing for Q80K should be performed and alternative treatments should be considered if this mutation is present.

Unique Patient Populations: Cirrhosis Box. Summary of Recommendations for Patients with Cirrhosis

Unique Patient Populations: Cirrhosis Box. Summary of Recommendations for Patients with Cirrhosis

Treatment-naive patients with compensated cirrhosis, including those with hepatocellular carcinoma, should receive the same treatment as recommended for patients without cirrhosis.

Rating: Class I, Level A

Patients with decompensated cirrhosis (moderate or severe hepatic impairment; CTP class B or C) should be referred to a medical practitioner with expertise in that condition (ideally in a liver transplant center).

Rating: Class I, Level C

The recommended regimen for patients with any HCV genotype who have decompensated cirrhosis (moderate or severe hepatic impairment; CTP class B or C) who may or may not be candidates for liver transplantation, including those with hepatocellular carcinoma. This regimen should be used only by highly experienced HCV providers

Daily sofosbuvir (400 mg) plus weight-based RBV (with consideration of the patient's creatinine clearance and hemoglobin level) for up to 48 weeks

Rating: Class IIb, Level B

The following regimens are NOT recommended for patients with decompensated cirrhosis (moderate or severe hepatic impairment; CTP class B or C):

Any IFN-based therapy

Rating: Class III, Level A

Monotherapy with PEG, RBV, or a DAA

Rating: Class III, Level A

Telaprevir-, boceprevir-, or simeprevir-based regimens

Rating: Class III, Level A

Unique Patient Populations: Post-Liver Transplantation Box. The summary of recommendations for patients who develop recurrent HCV infection post-liver transplantation

Unique Patient Population: Post-Liver Transplantation Box. The summary of recommendations for patients who develop recurrent HCV infection post-liver transplantation

Recommended regimen for treatment-naive patients with HCV genotype 1 in the allograft liver, including those with compensated cirrhosis

Daily sofosbuvir (400 mg) plus simeprevir (150 mg), with or without RBV (initial dose 600 mg/day, increased monthly by 200 mg/day as tolerated to weight-based dose of 1000 mg [<75 kg] to 1200 mg [≥75 kg] 1200 mg), for 12 weeks to 24 weeks is recommended for patients with compensated allograft HCV genotype 1 infection.

Rating: Class IIb, Level C

Recommended regimen for treatment-naive patients with HCV genotype 2 or 3 in the allograft liver, including those with compensated cirrhosis

Daily sofosbuvir (400 mg) and RBV (initial dose 600 mg/day, increased monthly by 200 mg/day as tolerated to weight-based dose of 1000 mg [<75 kg] to 1200 mg [≥75 kg] 1200 mg) with consideration of the patient's CrCl value and hemoglobin level for 24 weeks is recommended for patients with compensated allograft HCV genotype 2 or 3 infection.

Rating: Class IIb, Level C

Alternate regimen for treatment-naive patients with genotype 1 HCV in the allograft liver, including those with compensated cirrhosis.

Daily sofosbuvir (400 mg) and RBV (initial dose 600 mg/day, increased monthly by 200 mg/day as tolerated to weight-based dose of 1000 mg [<75 kg] to 1200 mg [≥75 kg] 1200 mg) with consideration of the patient's CrCl value and hemoglobin level,

with or without PEG (in the absence of contraindication to its use), for 24 weeks is recommended for patients with compensated allograft HCV genotype 1 infection.

Rating: Class IIb, Level C

The following regimens are NOT recommended for treatment-naive patients with compensated allograft hepatitis C infection

Monotherapy with PEG, RBV, or a DAA

Rating: Class III, Level A

Telaprevir- or boceprevir- based regimens should not be used for patients with compensated allograft hepatitis C infection.

Rating: Class III, Level A

Treatment-naive patients with decompensated allograft HCV infection should receive the same treatment as recommended for patients with decompensated cirrhosis (moderate or severe hepatic impairment; CTP class B or C).

Rating: Class I, Level C

Unique Patient Populations: Renal Impairment Table. Dose Adjustments Needed for Patients with Renal Impairment

Unique Patient Populations: Renal Impairment Table. Dose Adjustments Needed for Patients with Renal Impairment

Renal Impairment	eGFR/CrCl level (mL/min/ 1.73 m^2)	Interferon	Ribavirin	Sofosbuvir	Simeprevir
Mild	50-80	180 µg PEG (2a); PEG (2b) 1.5 µg/kg	Standard	Standard	Standard
Moderate	30-50	180 µg PEG (2a); PEG alfa-2b1 µg/kg or 25% reduction	Alternating doses 200 and 400 mg every other day	Standard	Standard
Severe	<30	135 µg PEG (2a); PEG (2b)1 µg/kg or 50% reduction	200 mg/d	Data not available	Standard
ESRD/HD		PEG (2a) 135 µg/wk or PEG (2b) 1 µg/kg/wk or standard IFN 3 mU 3x/wk	200 mg/d	Data not available	Data not available

Unique Patient Populations: Renal Impairment Box. Summary of recommendations for Patients with Renal Impairment Including Severe Renal Impairment (CrCl <30 ML/min) or ESRD Requiring Hemodialysis or Peritoneal Dialysis

Renal Impairment Box. Summary of recommendations for Patients with Renal Impairment, Including Severe Renal Impairment (CrCl <30 ML/min) or ESRD Requiring Hemodialysis or Peritoneal Dialysis

When using sofosbuvir to treat or retreat HCV infection in patients with appropriate genotypes, no dosage adjustment is required for patients with mild to moderate renal impairment (CrCl ?30 mL/min). Sofosbuvir is not recommended in patients with severe renal impairment/ESRD (CrCl <30 mL/min) or those who require hemodialysis, because no dosing data are currently available for this patient population.

Rating: Class IIa, level B

When using simeprevir in treatment/retreatment of HCV-infected patients, no dosage adjustment is required for patients with mild to moderate to severe renal impairment. Simeprevir has not been studied in patients with ESRD, including those requiring hemodialysis.

Rating: Class IIa, level B

In patients with renal impairment/ESRD/HD, dosing of PEG and RBV should follow updated FDA recommendations or package insert recommendations based on calculated GFR. Caution should be used in administering RBV to these patients, and close monitoring of hemoglobin is required.

Rating: Class IIa, level B

UNIQUE PATIENT POPULATIONS

Expansions and notes for abbreviations used in this section can be found in Methods Table 3.

1. Patients with HIV/HCV Coinfection

The summary of recommendations for HIV-coinfected patients is in the Unique Patient Populations: HIV/HCV Coinfection Box***.***

Recommended regimen(s) for treatment-naive and prior relapser HIV/HCV-coinfected patients with genotype 1 infection who are eligible to receive IFN:

Sofosbuvir (400 mg once daily) and weight-based RBV (1000 mg [<75 kg] to 1200 mg [≥75 kg] daily) plus weekly PEG for 12 weeks is recommended for IFN-eligible persons with HCV genotype 1 infection, regardless of subtype.

Rating: Class I, Level B

Recommended regimen(s) for treatment-naive and prior relapser HIV/HCV-coinfected patients with genotype 1 who are ineligible or unwilling to receive IFN.

Sofosbuvir (400 mg once daily) and weight-based RBV (1000 mg [<75 kg] to 1200 mg [≥75 kg] daily) for 24 weeks is recommended for treatment-naive HIV/HCV-coinfected patients with HCV genotype 1 infection.

Rating: Class I, Level B

Sofosbuvir (400 mg once daily) plus simeprevir (150 mg once daily), with or without weight-based RBV (1000 mg [<75 kg] to 1200 mg [≥75 kg] daily) for 12 weeks is recommended for treatment-naive and prior PEG/RBV relapser HIV/HCV-coinfected patients with genotype 1 infection. Simeprevir should only be used with antiretroviral drugs with which it does not have significant interactions: raltegravir, rilpivirine, maraviroc, enfuvirtide, tenofovir, emtricitabine, lamivudine, and abacavir.

Rating: Class IIa Level C

Recommended regimen(s) for treatment-experienced patients with HCV genotype 1 with a history of PEG/RBV nonresponse, regardless of IFN eligibility

Sofosbuvir (400 mg once daily) plus simeprevir (150 mg once daily) with or without weight-based RBV (1000 mg [<75 kg] to 1200 mg [≥75 kg] daily) for 12 weeks is recommended for prior PEG/RBV nonresponder, HIV/HCV-coinfected patients with genotype 1 infection. Simeprevir should only be used with antiretroviral drugs with which it does not have significant interactions: raltegravir, rilpivirine, maraviroc, enfuvirtide, tenofovir, emtricitabine, lamivudine, and abacavir.

Rating: Class IIa, Level C

Recommended regimen(s) for treatment-experienced patients with HCV genotype 1 with a history of PEG/RBV plus telaprevir or boceprevir nonresponse

Treat as recommended for HCV-monoinfected individuals.

Recommended regimen(s) for treatment-naive and treatment-experienced HIV/HCV-coinfected patients with genotype 2 and 3 infection

Use the same regimens as is recommended for persons with HCV monoinfection; specifically:

For patients with genotype 2 infection: sofosbuvir (400 mg once daily) and weight-based RBV (1000 mg [<75 kg] to 1200 mg [≥75 kg] daily) for 12 weeks is recommended for treatment-naive and treatment-experienced HIV/HCV-coinfected patients. Patients who are prior nonresponders and have cirrhosis may benefit by extension of treatment to 16 weeks.

Rating: Class I, Level B

For patients with genotype 3 infection: sofosbuvir (400 mg once daily) and weight-based RBV (1000 mg [<75 kg] to 1200 mg [≥75 kg] daily) for 24 weeks is recommended for treatment-naive and treatment-experienced HIV/HCV-coinfected patients.

Rating: Class I, Level B

Recommended regimen(s) for treatment-naive and treatment-experienced HIV/HCV-coinfected patients with genotype 4, 5, or 6 HCV:

Treat as recommended for persons with HCV monoinfection.

HIV/HCV coinfection results in increased liver-related morbidity and mortality, non-hepatic organ dysfunction, and overall mortality. Even in the potent antiretroviral era, HIV infection remains independently associated with advanced liver fibrosis and cirrhosis in patients with HCV coinfection. (Thein, 2008); (de Ledinghen, 2008); (Fierer, 2013) Similar to HCV-monoinfected patients, HIV/HCV-coinfected patients cured with PEG/RBV have lower rates of hepatic decompensation, hepatocellular carcinoma, and liver related mortality. (Berenguer, 2009); (Limketkai, 2012); (Mira, 2013) Uptake of HCV therapy is limited in the HIV/HCV-coinfected population due to historically lower response rates, patient comorbidities, patient and practitioner perception, and the adverse events associated with IFN-based therapy. (Mehta, 2006); (Thomas, 2008) Due to the special population designation, the first 2 approved DAAs, telaprevir and boceprevir, remain off label for use in HIV/HCV-coinfected patients, further limiting access to treatment in this population. With the availability of the DAAs sofosbuvir and simeprevir, a milestone has been reached in HIV/HCV coinfected patients. Treatment of HIV/HCV-coinfected patients requires awareness and attention to the complex drug interactions that can occur between DAA and HIV antiretroviral medications.

Pharmacokinetics and Drug Interactions

Sofosbuvir is not metabolized by the hepatic P450 enzyme complex and is a substrate (but not an inhibitor) of drug transporters, p-glycoprotein (P-gp), and breast cancer resistance protein (BCRP). It is not a substrate of OATP. Drug interaction studies with antiretroviral drugs (ie, efavirenz, tenofovir, emtricitabine, rilpivirine, darunavir/ritonavir, and raltegravir) in non-infected persons identified no clinically significant interactions (Kirby, 2013) making sofosbuvir an ideal therapy for patients with HIV/HCV coinfection. Sofosbuvir is not recommended for use with tipranavir because of the potential of this antiretroviral drug to induce P-gp (see package insert).

Simeprevir is metabolized primarily by cytochrome P450 3A4 (CYP3A4) and therefore is susceptible to drug interactions with inhibitors and inducers of the enzyme. Simeprevir is also an inhibitor of the OATP and P-gp transporters leading to additional drug interaction concerns. Drug interaction studies with antiretroviral drugs in non-infected volunteers suggested no substantial interactions with tenofovir, rilpivirine, or raltegravir; however, simeprevir concentrations were substantially decreased when dosed with efavirenz and substantially increased when dosed with darunavir/ritonavir, resulting in their exclusion from the Phase III C212 clinical trial investigating simeprevir in combination with PEG/RBV in patients with HIV/HCV coinfection. (Ouwerkerk-Mahadevan, 2012)

Ribavirin has the potential for dangerous drug interactions with didanosine resulting in mitochondrial toxicity with hepatomegaly/steatosis, pancreatitis, and lactic acidosis; thus the concomitant administration of these 2 drugs is contraindicated. (Fleischer, 2004) The combined use of RBV and zidovudine has been reported to increase the rates of anemia and the need for RBV dose reduction, and thus zidovudine is not recommended for use with RBV. (Alvarez, 2006)

Sofosbuvir (400 mg once daily) as part of a triple-therapy regimen with PEG (180 ?g weekly) and weight-based RBV (1000 mg to 1200 mg daily given in divided doses) is safe and efficacious in patients with HCV monoinfection, with an overall SVR12 of 89% in HCV genotype 1 patients. The P7977-1910 study was a single-center, single-arm trial (N=23) investigating this same 12-week triple therapy regimen in HIV-infected patients coinfected with HCV genotypes 1, 2 3, or 4. (Rodriguez-Torres, 2013) Allowable

antiretrovirals included either efavirenz, atazanavir/ritonavir, darunavir/ritonavir, raltegravir, or rilpivirine in combination with tenofovir/emtricitabine. Of patients with HCV genotype 1 (N=19), 89% achieved SVR12; 2 patients discontinued the study early due to adverse events (ie, anemia and altered mood). This regimen is therefore recommended for persons with HIV/HCV genotype 1 coinfection who are eligible to receive IFN and are either treatment-naive or have had prior PEG/RBV relapse.

The Phase III PHOTON-1 study enrolled 182 treatment-naive patients with HIV/HCV coinfection (n=114 with genotype 1; n=26 with genotype 2; n=42 with genotype 3) in a single-arm clinical trial investigating sofosbuvir (400 mg once daily) plus weight-based RBV (1000 mg to 1200 mg daily given in divided doses) for 24 (genotype 1) or 12 (genotypes 2 and 3) weeks. (Sulkowski, 2013c) The population had well-controlled HIV with mean CD4 counts of 559 to 636 cells/?L. The same ARVs were allowed as those in the P7977-1910 study. Of participants, 90% completed treatment and 3% discontinued treatment due to adverse events. SVR12 was achieved in 76%, 88%, and 67% of participants with HCV genotypes 1, 2, and 3, respectively. For the combination of sofosbuvir plus RBV, genotype 1b subtype was a predictor of poorer response. Cirrhosis and African American race also exhibited trends toward lower SVR12. Based on the potential for lower response in HIV/HCV-coinfected patients with cirrhosis, the use of sofosbuvir plus PEG/RBV should be considered over sofosbuvir plus RBV. This regimen is otherwise recommended for HIV/HCV genotype 1-coinfected patients who are treatment naive or have relapsed after receipt of PEG/RBV and are ineligible for IFN.

The combination of simeprevir plus sofosbuvir with or without RBV has been studied in the phase II COSMOS trial in patients with HCV monoinfection. (Jacobson, 2013b) This study is the basis for the recommendation supporting the use of this all-oral combination as an alternative regimen for patients with HCV monoinfection who cannot tolerate the recommended regimens. Although sofosbuvir plus simeprevir has been used anecdotally in patients with HIV/HCV coinfection, this drug combination has never been studied in this population. Despite the absence of data, this regimen may be considered for the treatment of HCV genotype 1 infection in patients with HIV infection who are not eligible for IFN and who are receiving antiretroviral therapy that may be coadministered with simeprevir (ie, raltegravir, rilpivirine, maraviroc, enfuvirtide, tenofovir, emtricitabine, lamivudine, and abacavir).

Similarly, no data exist for the combination of sofosbuvir plus simeprevir for the (re)treatment of HCV infection in HIV-infected patients. However, preliminary results obtained in HCV-monoinfected patients, including those with prior treatment failure and advanced fibrosis, support the expectation that this regimen will be highly effective in coinfected patients receiving compatible antiretroviral therapy as described above (see Retreatment of HCV Monoinfected Patients). (Jacobson, 2013b) Given the lack of clinical data in this population, it may be prudent to reserve this regimen for the treatment of persons with advanced fibrosis in whom a delay of therapy may lead to adverse clinical outcomes.

No data with sofosbuvir currently exist to guide retreatment recommendations for coinfected patients with HCV genotype 2 or 3 HCV infection. The ongoing PHOTON-1 study enrolled 41 treatment-experienced patients coinfected with HCV genotype 2 or 3, receiving sofosbuvir (400 mg once daily) plus weight-based RBV (1000 mg to 1200 mg daily given in divided doses) for 24 weeks. (Sulkowski, 2013b) Results are expected in early 2014. In the absence of data, current recommendations for the retreatment of HIV patients coinfected with HCV genotype 2 or 3 are the same as those for HCV-monoinfected patients. Data also are lacking regarding use of sofosbuvir among patients coinfected with HCV genotype 4, 5, or 6 and HIV. Similarly, with no current data on the use of sofosbuvir in patients with genotype 4, 5, or 6 HCV and HIV coinfection, but given evidence of safety and efficacy of sofosbuvir-based regimens in this population, the recommended regimens for treatment in treatment-naive and treatment-experienced patients with HIV/HCV coinfection are the same as those for HCV-monoinfected patients.

Alternative regimen(s) for treatment-naive or treatment-experienced (prior PEG/RBV relapse) HIV/HCV- coinfected patients with genotype 1 who are eligible to receive IFN

Simeprevir (150 mg once daily) for 12 weeks and weight-based RBV (1000 mg [<75 kg] to 1200 mg [≥75 kg] daily) plus weekly PEG for 24 weeks (for treatment-naive and treatment-experienced with prior relapse to PEG/RBV) is an acceptable regimen for IFN-eligible HIV/HCV-coinfected persons with either (1) HCV genotype 1b or (2) HCV genotype 1a infection in whom the Q80K polymorphism is not detected prior to treatment. Simeprevir can only be used with the following antiretroviral drugs: raltegravir, rilpivirine, maraviroc, enfuvirtide tenofovir, emtricitabine, lamivudine, and abacavir.

Rating: Class IIa, Level B

Alternative regimen(s) for treatment-experienced (PEG/RBV nonresponders) HIV/HCV-coinfected patients with genotype 1 who are eligible for IFN

Sofosbuvir (400 mg once daily) and weight-based RBV (1000 mg [<75 kg] to 1200 mg [≥75 kg] daily) plus weekly PEG for 12 weeks is an acceptable regimen for IFN-eligible persons with HCV genotype 1 infection, regardless of subtype.

Rating: Class IIb, Level C

Alternative regimen(s) for treatment-naive and PEG/RBV relapser HIV/HCV-coinfected patients with genotype 1 who are ineligible or unwilling to receive IFN.

None

Alternative regimen(s) for treatment-experienced (PEG/RBV nonresponder) HIV/HCV-coinfected patients with genotype 1 who are ineligible to receive IFN.

Sofosbuvir (400 mg once daily) and weight-based RBV (1000 mg [<75 kg] to 1200 mg [≥75 kg] daily) for 24 weeks is an acceptable regimen for treatment-experienced (nonresponder) HIV/HCV-coinfected patients with HCV genotype 1 infection.

Rating: Class IIb, Level C

Alternative regimen(s) for treatment-naive and PEG/RBV relapser, HIV/HCV-coinfected patients with genotype 2 or 3 infection.

None

Alternative regimen(s) for treatment-experienced (PEG/RBV nonresponder) HIV/HCV-coinfected patients with genotype 2 or 3 infection who are eligible to receive IFN.

Sofosbuvir (400 mg once daily) and weight-based RBV (1000 mg [<75 kg] to 1200 mg [≥75 kg] daily) plus weekly PEG for 12 weeks is an acceptable regimen for treatment-experienced IFN-eligible persons with HCV genotype 2 or 3 infection.

Rating: Class IIa, Level C

Alternative regimen(s) for treatment-naive and treatment-experienced HIV/HCV-coinfected patients with HCV genotype 4, 5, or 6 infection.

None

The TMC435-C212 is a Phase III, open-label, single-arm study investigating simeprevir plus PEG/RBV (fixed-dose ribavirin) in treatment-naive and treatment-experienced patients coinfected with HCV genotype-1 and HIV. (Dieterich, 2013) The study used an RGT design for treatment-naive and prior PEG/RBV relapsers; prior partial and null responders and all patients with cirrhosis (regardless of treatment history) received 48 weeks of therapy (SMV x 12 weeks plus PEG/RBV x 48 weeks). The primary analysis reported an overall SVR12 of 74% (treatment naive: 79%; prior relapsers, 87%: prior partial responders: 70%; prior null responders: 57%). Most (89%) eligible patients met criteria for RGT and were able to shorten therapy to 24 weeks, after which time 78% achieved SVR12. Lower SVR12 was reported in several clinically relevant subgroups: genotype 1a (71% vs 89% in genotype 1b); genotype 1a with the Q80K mutation at baseline (67%); advanced fibrosis or cirrhosis (64%); IL28B unfavorable genetic polymorphisms (68% and 61% for the CT and TT variants vs 96% for the favorable CC variant); high baseline HCV RNA (70% for >800,000 IU/mL or 93% for <800,000 IU/mL); and patients not receiving antiretroviral therapy (62% vs 75% in subjects on antiretroviral drugs). As with patients with HCV monoinfection, baseline resistance testing for the Q80K polymorphism should be performed in all patients harboring the genotype 1a subtype and a different regimen considered if the polymorphism is present. Virologic failures occurred; most failures (79%) were associated with the emergence of resistant-associated mutations.

The adverse event profile was similar to that of patients with HCV monoinfection, with a higher frequency of pruritus, rash, photosensitivity, and increased bilirubin than is observed in patients receiving PEG/RBV alone. Due to the complexity of antiretroviral drug-associated drug interactions with simeprevir, the longer course of PEG/RBV, the adverse effect profile, and the risk of resistance emergence with treatment failure,

simeprevir plus PEG/RBV is considered an alternative regimen for treatment-naive and prior PEG/RBV relapse patients with HIV coinfection with genotype HCV who cannot tolerate the recommended regimens. This regimen is not recommended in prior nonresponders or patients with cirrhosis because of observed lower response rates seen and the poor tolerability of 48 weeks of PEG/RBV. Due to diminished activity in vitro (for genotype 2 and 3) and insufficient data (for genotype 4) this regimen cannot be recommended for these genotypes.

Sofosbuvir plus PEG/RBV has not been studied in patients with HIV/HCV genotype 1 coinfection in whom previous IFN-based HCV therapy has failed. However, in a study of a limited number of patients (n=19), the efficacy of this regimen in treatment-naive subjects with HIV/HCV genotype 1 coinfection was equivalent to that in patients with HCV monoinfection. (Rodriguez-Torres, 2013) An exploratory FDA analysis estimated the SVR rate of this regimen to be 78% among a treatment-experienced population with HCV monoinfection, including 71% in those with multiple poor pretreatment response predictors. (US FDA, 2013b) These data, along with the absence of antiretroviral drug limitations, support inclusion of this regimen as a recommended option for treatment-experienced patients with HIV/HCV coinfection.

Sofosbuvir plus RBV has not been studied in prior HCV treatment-experienced patients with HIV/HCV genotype 1 coinfection. This regimen yielded an SVR12 rate of 76% among treatment-naive HIV/HCV genotype 1-coinfected patients. (Sulkowski, 2013b) However, responses to this regimen are expected to be lower in treatment-experienced coinfected subjects based on limited data in treatment-experienced HCV-monoinfected patients treated for 12 weeks with sofosbuvir (400 mg once daily) plus weight-based RBV (1000 mg to 1200 mg daily in divided doses). (Gane, 2013a) Further, response rates are expected to be lower than those associated with the recommended and alternative regimens. This regimen should be reserved for coinfected patients who cannot tolerate IFN and do not have antiretroviral regimen options compatible with simeprevir. These patients require expert consultation with careful consideration of fibrosis stage; in some cases, deferral of therapy may be a more appropriate action.

Sofosbuvir plus PEG/RBV has not been studied in patients with HIV/HCV genotype 2 or 3 coinfection in whom previous IFN-based HCV therapy has failed. However, recognizing the potential limitations of sofosbuvir plus RBV in more difficult to treat genotype 2 and 3 patients, particularly those with prior nonresponse and cirrhosis, the addition of IFN to the regimen can be considered for those patients who are eligible. The LONESTAR-2 (open-label, single-site, single-arm phase 2 trial) evaluated PEG (180 & #956;g weekly), sofosbuvir (400 mg once daily), and weight-based RBV (1000 mg to 1200 mg daily in divided doses) for 12 weeks in HCV-monoinfected treatment-experienced patients with genotype 2 or 3 infection. Cirrhosis was present at baseline in 55% of patients. Overall, SVR12 was achieved in 96% (22 of 23) of those with genotype 2 infection. SVR occurred in 93% (13/14) and 100% (9 of 9) of patients with and without cirrhosis, respectively. Because sofosbuvir is safe and effective when used to treat HIV/HCV-coinfected patients, the combination of sofosbuvir plus PEG/RBV for 12 weeks can be considered for appropriate genotype 2 and 3 HIV/HCV-coinfected patients.

The following regimens are NOT recommended for treatment-naive or treatment-experienced HIV/HCV-coinfected patients

PEG/RBV with or without telaprevir or boceprevir for 24 to 48 weeks

Rating: Class IIb, Level A

Monotherapy with PEG, RBV, or a DAA

Rating: Class III, Level A

Due to its prolonged treatment course, adverse effects, and poor response rates, PEG/RBV is no longer recommended for the treatment of patients with HCV genotypes 1, 2, 3, or 4 who are coinfected with HIV. Neither telaprevir nor boceprevir is approved for use in patients with HIV/HCV coinfection. However, when combined with PEG/RBV and used for 48 weeks, these drugs have reported efficacy and safety in patients with HIV/HCV genotype 1 coinfection similar to that in patients with HCV genotype 1 monoinfection. (Sulkowski, 2013d); (Sulkowski, 2013a) Ongoing Phase III trials will investigate the use of RGT for select patient groups. Telaprevir and boceprevir are each substrates and inhibitors of CYP3A4 and thus have substantial drug interactions with antiretroviral drugs. (van Heeswijk, 2011a); (van Heeswijk, 2011b); (Kakuda, 2012); (Johnson, 2013); (Kasserra, 2011); (Hulskotte, 2013); (Garraffo, 2013); (de Kanter, 2012); (Hammond, 2013); (Vourvahis, 2013) Due to the adverse effect profile, prolonged required course of PEG/RBV, and substantial drug interactions, these agents are no longer recommended for HIV/HCV-coinfected patients.

Because of their limited activity in vitro and in vivo against HCV genotypes 2 and 3, boceprevir, telaprevir, and simeprevir should not be used as therapy for HIV/HCV-coinfected patients with HCV genotype 2 or 3 infection. Boceprevir and telaprevir also have limited activity against HCV genotype 4 and should not be used as therapy for HIV/HCV coinfected patients with HCV genotype 4 infection. There are currently not enough data to support a recommendation for the use of simeprevir for genotype 4 infection in HIV/HCV-coinfected patients.

2. Patients with Cirrhosis

The summary of recommendations for patients with cirrhosis is in the box***.***

Compensated Cirrhosis

Treatment-naive patients with compensated cirrhosis, including those with hepatocellular carcinoma, should receive the same treatment as recommended for patients without cirrhosis.

Rating: Class I, Level A

This statement is supported by a number of studies (described above) that included patients with compensated cirrhosis who were evaluated in sub-group analyses.

Decompensated Cirrhosis

Patients with decompensated cirrhosis (moderate or severe hepatic impairment; CTP class B or C) ***should be referred to a medical practitioner with expertise in that condition (ideally***

in a liver transplant center).

Rating: Class I, Level C

If the decision to treat has been made, the recommended regimen for patients with any HCV genotype who have decompensated cirrhosis (moderate or severe hepatic impairment; CTP class B or C***) who may or may not be candidates for liver transplantation, including those with hepatocellular carcinoma. This regimen should be used only by highly experienced HCV providers***

Daily sofosbuvir (400 mg) plus weight-based RBV (with consideration of the patient's creatinine clearance and hemoglobin level) for up to 48 weeks

Rating: Class IIb, Level B

In one study, 61 patients with HCV infection and hepatocellular carcinoma meeting MILAN criteria for liver transplant were treated with sofosbuvir plus RBV for up to 48 weeks. (Curry MP, 2013) At the time of treatment initiation, the median MELD score was 8 (range: 6-14), and 17 patients had CTP scores of 7 or 8 (CTP Class B). To date, 44 patients have undergone liver transplantation, of whom 41 (93%) had HCV RNA below the lower limit of quantification. At 12 weeks post-transplant, 23 of 37 (62%) had no detected HCV RNA consistent with prevention of recurrent HCV infection. In the post-transplant period, 10 patients experienced recurrent HCV infection. Among the 10 patients who experienced recurrent graft infection, 9 had HCV RNA not detected for less than 30 days pretransplant. The most common adverse effects were fatigue, anemia, and headache; adverse effects led to treatment discontinuation for 2 patients (3%).

In a sofosbuvir compassionate-use program for patients with severe recurrent HCV infection following liver transplantation who were predicted to have a less than 6-month survival, (Forns, 2013b) 44 patients were treated with sofosbuvir plus RBV 32 patients were also given PEG. At treatment initiation, the median MELD score was 16 (range: 6-43), and fibrosing cholestatic hepatitis was documented in 20 patients. After week 12 of treatment, 91% of patients treated with sofosbuvir plus RBV and 75% of those treated with the addition of PEG achieved HCV RNA less than the lower limit of quantification. Of 27 patients evaluated at 12 weeks post-treatment, 15 patients (56%) achieved SVR. Overall, 75% had improved or stable clinical liver disease including improvement in hyperbilirubinemia and coagulopathy as well as decrease in MELD score. In this very sick population, 8 patients died, most from liver disease progression.

The following regimens are NOT recommended for patients with decompensated cirrhosis (moderate or severe hepatic impairment; CTP class B or C***)***:

Any IFN-based therapy

Rating: Class III, Level A

Monotherapy with PEG, RBV, or a DAA

Rating: Class III, Level A

Telaprevir-, boceprevir-, or simeprevir-based regimens

Rating: Class III, Level A

IFN should not be given to patients with decompensated cirrhosis (moderate or severe hepatic impairment; CTP class B or C) because of the potential for worsening hepatic decompensation. Neither telaprevir nor boceprevir should be used for this population because they must be coadministered with PEG/RBV. Very minimal data exist for the use of simeprevir in patients with decompensated cirrhosis. Until additional data become available, simeprevir should not be used in patients with decompensated cirrhosis.

3. Patients Who Develop Recurrent HCV Infection Post-Liver Transplantation

The summary of recommendations for patients who develop recurrent HCV infection post-liver transplantation is in the box.

Recommended regimen for treatment-naive patients with HCV genotype 1 in the allograft liver, including those with compensated cirrhosis

Daily sofosbuvir (400 mg) plus simeprevir (150 mg), with or without RBV (initial dose 600 mg/day, increased monthly by 200 mg/day as tolerated to weight-based dose of 1000 mg [<75 kg] to 1200 mg [≥75 kg] 1200 mg), for 12 weeks to 24 weeks is recommended for patients with compensated allograft HCV genotype 1 infection.

Rating: Class IIb, Level C

Recommended regimen for treatment-naive patients with HCV genotype 2 or 3 in the allograft liver, including those with compensated cirrhosis

Daily sofosbuvir (400 mg) and RBV (initial dose 600 mg/day, increased monthly by 200 mg/day as tolerated to weight-based dose of 1000 mg [<75 kg] to 1200 mg [≥75 kg] 1200 mg) with consideration of the patient's CrCl value and hemoglobin level for 24 weeks is recommended for patients with compensated allograft HCV genotype 2 or 3 infection.

Rating: Class IIb, Level C

Alternate regimen for treatment-naive patients with genotype 1 HCV in the allograft liver, including those with compensated cirrhosis.

Daily sofosbuvir (400 mg) and RBV (initial dose 600 mg/day, increased monthly by 200 mg/day as tolerated to weight-based dose of 1000 mg [<75 kg] to 1200 mg [≥75 kg] 1200 mg) with consideration of the patient's CrCl value and hemoglobin level, with or without PEG (in the absence of contraindication to its use), for 24 weeks is recommended for patients with compensated allograft HCV genotype 1 infection.

Rating: Class IIb, Level C

Simeprevir has not been studied with sofosbuvir in the post-transplant setting; however, drug interaction studies in non-infected participants indicate that simeprevir can be dosed safely in conjunction with calcineurin inhibitors. Based on these data, clinicians may consider the use of sofosbuvir plus simeprevir as described for non-transplant patients, particularly in those expected to have difficulty tolerating RBV (eg, patients with impaired renal function and anemia). Consideration should be given to pretreatment resistance testing for the Q80K polymorphism in genotype 1a-infected patients.

In addition to the sofosbuvir compassionate-use program, (Forns, 2013a) 40 patients with recurrent HCV infection following liver transplantation were treated for 24 weeks with sofosbuvir (400 mg daily) plus RBV (starting at 600 mg daily followed by dose escalation as tolerated). (Charlton, 2013) At study entry, patients were required to be at least 6 months post-transplant, to have a CTP score of 7 or lower, and to have a MELD score of 17 or lower. Bridging fibrosis or cirrhosis was documented in 25 patients (63%). At the end of treatment, all patients had HCV RNA levels below the lower limit of quantification and, at 4 weeks after treatment discontinuation, 27 of 35 patients (77%) had undetectable levels of HCV RNA. The most common adverse events were fatigue, headache, and arthralgia. Anemia was reported in 20% of patients. Two patients discontinued therapy due to adverse events. No deaths, graft loss, or episodes of rejection were reported.

The addition of PEG to sofosbuvir plus RBV may also be considered in the absence of contraindications.

The following regimens are NOT recommended for treatment-naive patients with compensated allograft hepatitis C virus infection.

Monotherapy with PEG, RBV, or a DAA

Rating: Class III, Level A

Telaprevir- or boceprevir- based regimens should not be used for patients with compensated allograft hepatitis C virus infection.

Rating: Class III, Level A

Telaprevir or boceprevir should not be used in the post-liver transplant population because of surrounding toxicity and drug interactions with calcineurin inhibitors**.**

Decompensated Cirrhosis

Treatment-naive patients with decompensated allograft HCV infection should receive the same treatment as recommended for patients with decompensated cirrhosis (moderate or severe hepatic impairment; CTP class B or C).

Rating: Class I, Level C

4. Patients with Renal Impairment, Including Severe Renal Impairment (CrCl <30 mL/min) or ESRD Requiring Hemodialysis or Peritoneal Dialysis

Summary of Recommendations for Patients with Renal Impairment Including, Severe Renal Impairment (CrCl <30 mL/min) or ESRD Requiring Hemodialysis or Peritoneal Dialysis is found in the Unique Patient Populations: Renal Impairment Box***.***

When using sofosbuvir to treat or retreat HCV infection in patients with appropriate genotypes, no dosage adjustment is required for patients with mild to moderate renal impairment (CrCl ≥30 mL/min). Sofosbuvir is not recommended in patients with severe renal impairment/ESRD (CrCl <30 mL/min) or those who require hemodialysis, because no dosing data are currently available for this patient population.

Rating: Class IIa, level B

Sofosbuvir enters the hepatocyte, where it is metabolized to its active form, GS-461203. The downstream inactive nucleoside metabolite GS-331007 is almost exclusively eliminated from the body renally, mediated through a combination of glomerular filtration and active tubular secretion. Results of phase 2 and 3 sofosbuvir clinical trials have excluded patients with serum Cr level above 2.5 and/or CrCl level below <60 mL/min. The pharmacokinetics of a single dose of sofosbuvir 400 mg was assessed in persons not infected with HCV (study P7977-0915) with mild (estimated glomerular filtration rate [eGFR] ≥50 and <80 mL/min/1.73m^2), moderate (eGFR ≥30 and <50 mL/min/1.73m^2), severe renal impairment (eGFR <30 mL/min/1.73m^2) and persons with ESRD requiring hemodialysis. Relative to persons with normal renal function (eGFR>80 mL/min/1.73m^2), the sofosbuvir AUC(0-inf) was 61%, 107%, and 171% higher in subjects with mild, moderate, and severe renal impairment, respectively. The GS-331007 AUC(0-inf) was

55%, 88%, and 451% higher, respectively. No safety signals have been seen under similar conditions. In subjects with ESRD (relative to subjects with normal renal function), sofosbuvir and GS-331007 AUC (0-inf) was 28% and 1280% higher, respectively, when sofosbuvir was dosed 1 hour before hemodialysis, compared with 60% and 2070% higher, respectively, when sofosbuvir was dosed 1 hour after hemodialysis. No dose adjustment is required for patients with mild or moderate renal impairment. The safety of sofosbuvir has not been established in patients with severe renal impairment or ESRD. Therefore, a dose recommendation cannot be provided for these populations at this time, although a dedicated study to evaluate optimal dosing of sofosbuvir in HCV-infected patients with severe renal impairment or ESRD on hemodialysis is currently underway.

When using simeprevir in treatment/retreatment of HCV-infected patients, no dosage adjustment is required for patients with mild to moderate to severe renal impairment. Simeprevir has not been studied in patients with ESRD, including those requiring hemodialysis.

Rating: Class IIa, level B

Simeprevir is primarily metabolized by liver CYP3A4, and renal clearance plays an insignificant role (<1%) in the elimination of simeprevir and its metabolites.

Simeprevir 150 mg daily for 7 days has been studied in non-HCV infected patients with severe renal impairment (eGFR<30 mL/min/1.73m^2) and healthy volunteers (eGFR> mL/min/1.73 m^2). For persons with severe renal impairment, simeprevir C_{min}, C_{max}, and AUC(24 hour) were 71%, 34%, and 62% higher, respectively, compared with matched healthy controls. Simeprevir exposure was higher in patients with severe renal impairment (steady-state by day 7), but no significant difference was observed in simeprevir plasma protein binding. Simeprevir was generally safe and well tolerated in subjects with severe renal impairment. Therefore, no dose adjustment of simeprevir is required in these patients. No clinically significant differences in pharmacokinetics were observed in HCV-uninfected participants with mild, moderate, or severe renal impairment. CrCl level was not identified as a significant covariate of simeprevir population pharmacokinetics in HCV-infected patients.Simeprevir has not been evaluated in patients receiving hemodialysis.

In patients with renal impairment/ESRD/HD, dosing of PEG and RBV should follow updated FDA recommendations or package insert recommendations based on calculated GFR. Caution should be used in administering RBV to these patients, and close monitoring of hemoglobin is required.

Rating: Class IIa, level B

HCV infection is a major health problem in patients with ESRD. The incidence of acute HCV infection

during maintenance dialysis is much higher than that in the general population because of the risk for nosocomial transmission. The kidney is important for the catabolism and filtration of both IFN and RBV, and therefore, reduced doses of both PEG and RBV are warranted in patients with ESRD.

Impaired excretion of RBV occurs in patients with chronic kidney disease, as RBV is mostly eliminated by the kidney. Very little RBV is removed via dialysis. Thus, the drug can accumulate, exacerbating hemolysis in the dialysis population already at substantial risk for anemia. If a decision is made to use RBV in patients on maintenance hemodialysis, it should be used only after the implementation of several safety precautions, including (1) administering very low doses of RBV (200 mg daily), (2) monitoring hemoglobin levels on a weekly basis, (3) titrating epoetin alfa to treat anemia, and (4) providing intravenous iron supplementation to boost erythropoietin activity.

Dose adjustments needed for patients with renal impairment are summarized in the Renal Impairment Table.

COMING SOON: Management of Acute HCV Infection: Target Launch – Summer 2014

COMING SOON: Management of Acute HCV Infection: Target Launch – Summer 2014

REFERENCES

Aberg JA, Gallant JE, Ghanem KG, Emmanuel P, Zingman BS, Horberg MA. Primary Care Guidelines for the Management of Persons Infected With HIV: 2013 Update by the HIV Medicine Association of the Infectious Diseases Society of America. *Clin Infect Dis.* 2013;

Alter MJ, Kuhnert WL, Finelli L. Guidelines for laboratory testing and result reporting of antibody to hepatitis C virus. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. *MMWR Recomm Rep.* 2003;52(RR-3):1-13, 15.

Alvarez D, Dieterich DT, Brau N, Moores L, Ball L, Sulkowski MS. Zidovudine use but not weight-based ribavirin dosing impacts anaemia during HCV treatment in HIV-infected persons. *J Viral Hepat.* 2006;13:683-689.

American Heart Association. http://my.americanheart.org/idc/groups/ahamah-public/@wcm/@sop/documents/downloadable/ucm_319826.pdf. Accessed on January 27, 2014.

Armstrong GL, Wasley A, Simard EP, McQuillan GM, Kuhnert WL, Alter MJ. The prevalence of hepatitis C virus infection in the United States, 1999 through 2002. *Ann Intern Med.* 2006;144(10):705-714.

Arora S, Thornton K, Murata G, et al. Outcomes of treatment for hepatitis C virus infection by primary care providers. *N Engl J Med.* 2011;364(23):2199-2207.

Bacon BR, Gordon SC, Lawitz E, et al. Boceprevir for previously treated chronic HCV genotype 1 infection. *N Engl J Med.* 2011;364(13):1207-1217.

Bellentani S, Pozzato G, Saccoccio G, et al. Clinical course and risk factors of hepatitis C virus related liver disease in the general population: report from the Dionysos study. *Gut.* 1999;44(6):874-880.

Berenguer J, Álvarez-Pellicer J, Martin PM, et al. Sustained virological response to interferon plus ribavirin reduces liver-related complications and mortality in patients coinfected with human immunodeficiency virus and hepatitis C virus. *Hepatology.* 2009;50(2):407-413.

Bravo MJ, Vallejo F, Barrio G, et al. HCV seroconversion among never-injecting heroin users at baseline: no predictors identified other than starting injection. *Int J Drug Policy.* 2012;23(5):415-419.

Bruggmann P, Litwin AH. Models of care for the management of hepatitis C virus among people who inject drugs: one size does not fit all. *Clin Infect Dis.* 2013;57 Suppl 2:S56-S61.

Castera L. Noninvasive methods to assess liver disease in patients with hepatitis B or C. *Gastroenterology.* 2012;142(6):1293-1302.

Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Recommendations for prevention and control of hepatitis C virus (HCV) infection and HCV-related chronic disease. *MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep.* 1998;47(RR-19):1-39.

Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Recommendations for identification and public health management of persons with chronic hepatitis B virus infection. *MMWR.* 2008;57(RR-8)

Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC). Testing for HCV infection: an update of guidance for clinicians and laboratorians. *MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep.* 2013;62(18):362-365.

Charlton MR, Gane E, Manns M, et al. Sofosbuvir and Ribavirin for the Treatment of Established Recurrent Hepatitis C Infection After Liver Transplantation: Preliminary Results of a Prospective, Multicenter Study. *Hepatology: Special Issue: The 64th Annual Meeting of the American Association for the Study of Liver Diseases: The Liver Meeting 2013.* 2013;Vol 58(4):1378A.

Chou R, Wasson N. Blood tests to diagnose fibrosis or cirrhosis in patients with chronic hepatitis C virus infection: a systematic review. *Ann Intern Med.* 2013;158(11):807-820.

Clark BT, Garcia-Tsao G, Fraenkel L. Patterns and predictors of treatment initiation and completion in patients with chronic hepatitis C virus infection. *Patient Prefer Adherence.* 2012;6:285-295.

Coilly A, Roche B, Dumortier J, et al. Safety and efficacy of protease inhibitors to treat hepatitis C after liver transplantation: A multicenter experience. *J.Hepatol.* 2014;60(1):78-86.

Corrao G, Arico S. Independent and combined action of hepatitis C virus infection and alcohol consumption on the risk of symptomatic liver cirrhosis. *Hepatology.* 1998;27(4):914-919.

Crippin JS, McCashland T, Terrault N, Sheiner P, Charlton MR. A pilot study of the tolerability and efficacy of antiviral therapy in hepatitis C virus-infected patients awaiting liver transplantation. *Liver Transpl.* 2002;8(4):350-355.

Curry MP, et al. Pretransplant Sofosbuvir and Ribavirin to Prevent Recurrence of HCV Infection after Liver Transplantation. 64th Annual Meeting of the American Association for the Study of Liver Disease. Nov 1-5, 2013, 2013; Washington, DC.

de Kanter C, Blonk M, Colbers A et al. The influence of the HCV protease inhibitor boceprevir on the pharmacokinetics of the HIV integrase inhibitor raltegravir [Abstract 772LB]. 19th Conference on Retroviruses and Opportunistic Infections (CROI). March 5-8, 2012; Seattle, WA.

de Ledinghen V, Barreiro P, Foucher J, et al. Liver fibrosis on account of chronic hepatitis C is more severe in HIV-positive than HIV-negative patients despite antiretroviral therapy. *J Viral Hepat.* 2008;15(6):427-433.

Di Bisceglie A, Kuo A, Rustgi V, Sulkowski M. Virological Outcomes and Adherence to Treatment Algorithms in a Longitudinal Study of Patients with Chronic Hepatitis C Treated with Boceprevir or Telaprevir in the U.S. (HCV-TARGET). AASLD The Liver Meeting. Nov 1-5, 2013, 2013; Washington, DC; 2013.

Dieperink E, Ho SB, Heit S, Durfee JM, Thuras P, Willenbring ML. Significant reductions in drinking

following brief alcohol treatment provided in a hepatitis C clinic. *Psychosomatics.* 2010;51(2):149-156.

Dieterich D, Rockstroh J, Orkin C, et al. Simeprevir (TMC435) plus peginterferon/ribavirin in patients co-infected with HCV genotype-1 andHIV-1: primary analysis of the C212 study. 14th European AIDs Conference (EACS 2013). Oct 16-19, 2013, 2013; Brussels.

Fierer DS, Dieterich DT, Fiel MI, et al. Rapid progression to decompensated cirrhosis, liver transplant, and death in HIV-infected men after primary hepatitis C virus infection. *Clin Infect Dis.* 2013;56(7):1038-1043.

Fierer DS, Uriel AJ, Carriero DC, et al. Liver fibrosis during an outbreak of acute hepatitis C virus infection in HIV-infected men: a prospective cohort study. *J Infect Dis.* 2008;198(5):683-686.

Fleischer R, Boxwell D, Sherman KE. Nucleoside analogues and mitochondrial toxicity. *Clin Infect Dis.* 2004;38:e79-e80.

Fontana RJ, Sanyal AJ, Ghany MG, et al. Factors that determine the development and progression of gastroesophageal varices in patients with chronic hepatitis C. *Gastroenterology.* 2010;138(7):2321-31, 2331.

Forns X, Fontana RJ, Moonka D, et al. Initial Evaluation of the Sofosbuvir Compassionate Use Program for Patients with Severe Recurrent HCV Following Liver Transplantation. Special Issue: The 64th Annual Meeting of the American Association for the Study of Liver Diseases: The Liver Meeting 2013. Epub Oct 1, 2013, 10-1-2013a;732A.

Forns X, Lawitz E, Zeuzem S, et al. Simeprevir (TMC435) with peg-interferon a-2a/ribavirin for treatment of chronic HCV genotype 1 infection in patients who relapsed after previous interferon-based therapy: efficacy and safety in patient sub-populations in the PROMISE phase III trial. 64th Annual Meeting of the American Association for the Study of Liver Diseases (AASLD 2013). Nov 1-5, 2013, 2013b; Washington, DC.

Foster GR, Hezode C, Bronowicki JP, et al. Telaprevir alone or with peginterferon and ribavirin reduces HCV RNA in patients with chronic genotype 2 but not genotype 3 infections. *Gastroenterology.* 2011;141(3):881-889.

Gane EJ, Stedman CA, Hyland RH, et al. Nucleotide polymerase inhibitor sofosbuvir plus ribavirin for hepatitis C. *N Engl J Med.* 2013a;368(1):34-44.

Gane EJ, Stedman CA, Hyland RH, et al. Once daily sofosbuvir plus ribavirin for 12 and 24 weeks in treatment-naive patients with HCV infection: the QUANTUM study. Program and abstracts of the 48th Annual Meeting of the European Association for the Study of the Liver. April 24-28, 2013, 2013b; Amsterdam, the Netherlands.

Garraffo R, Poizot-Martin I, Piroth L et al. Pharmacokinetic (PK) interactions between Boceprevir (BOC) and Atazanavir/r (ATV/r) or Raltegravir (RAL) in HIV/HCV coinfected patients (pts). 14th International Workshop on Clinical Pharmacology on HIV Therapy. April 22-24, 2013; Amsterdam, the Netherlands.

Ghany MG, Nelson DR, Strader DB, Thomas DL, Seeff LB. An update on treatment of genotype 1 chronic hepatitis C virus infection: 2011 practice guideline by the American Association for the Study of Liver Diseases. *Hepatology.* 2011;54(4):1433-1444.

Ghany MG, Strader DB, Thomas DL, Seeff LB. Diagnosis, management, and treatment of hepatitis C: an update. *Hepatology.* 2009;49(4):1335-1374.

Gilead Sciences I. Sofosbuvir [package insert]. 2013.Foster City, CA, Gilead Sciences, Inc.

Govindasamy D, Ford N, Kranzer K. Risk factors, barriers and facilitators for linkage to antiretroviral therapy care: a systematic review. *AIDS.* 2012;26(16):2059-2067.

Hammond KP, Wolfe P, Burton JR, Jr., et al. Pharmacokinetic interaction between boceprevir and etravirine in HIV/HCV seronegative volunteers. *J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr.* 2013;62(1):67-73.

Harris DR, Gonin R, Alter HJ, et al. The relationship of acute transfusion-associated hepatitis to the development of cirrhosis in the presence of alcohol abuse. *Ann Intern Med.* 2001;134(2):120-124.

Holmberg SD, Spradling PR, Moorman AC, Denniston MM. Hepatitis C in the United States. *N Engl J Med.* 2013;368(20):1859-1861.

Hosein SR, Wilson DP. HIV, HCV, and drug use in men who have sex with men. *Lancet.* 2013;382(9898):1095-1096.

Hourigan LF, Macdonald GA, Purdie D, et al. Fibrosis in chronic hepatitis C correlates significantly with body mass index and steatosis. *Hepatology.* 1999;29(4):1215-1219.

Hulskotte EG, Feng HP, Xuan F, et al. Pharmacokinetic interactions between the hepatitis C virus protease inhibitor boceprevir and ritonavir-boosted HIV-1 protease inhibitors atazanavir, darunavir, and lopinavir. *Clin Infect Dis.* 2013;56(5):718-726.

Islam MM, Topp L, Conigrave KM, et al. Linkage into specialist hepatitis C treatment services of injecting drug users attending a needle syringe program-based primary healthcare centre. *J Subst Abuse Treat.* 2012;43(4):440-445.

Jacobson IM, Dore GJ, Foster G, et al. Simeprevir (TMC435) with Peginterferon/ Ribavirin for Chronic HCV Genotype-1 Infection in Treatment-Naive Patients: Results From QUEST-1, a Phase III Trial. Digestive Disease Week. May 18-21, 2013; Orlando, FL.

Jacobson IM, Ghalib RH, Rodriguez-Torres M, et. SVR results of a once-daily regimen of simeprevir (TMC435) plus sofosbuvir (GS-7977) with or without ribavirin in cirrhotic and non-cirrhotic HCV genotype 1 treatment-naïve and prior null responder patients: The COSMOS study. *Hepatology: Special Issue: The 64th Annual Meeting of the American Association for the Study of Liver Diseases: The Liver Meeting 2013.* 2013;58(4):1379A.

Jacobson IM, Gordon SC, Kowdley KV, et al. Sofosbuvir for hepatitis C genotype 2 or 3 in patients without treatment options. *N Engl J Med.* 2013;368(20):1867-1877.

Janssen Therapeutics. Simeprevir [package insert]. 2013.Titusville, NJ, Janssen Therapeutics.

Johnson M, Borland J, Chen S-J et al. Dolutegravir, Boceprevir, Telaprevir PK: The effect of Boceprevir and Telaprevir on Dolutegravir Pharmacokinetics, in Healthy Adult Subjects. 14th International Workshop on Clinical Phamacology of HIV Therapy. Apr 22-24, 2013, 2013; Amsterdam.

Kakuda T, Leopold L, Nijs S. Pharmacokinetic interaction between etravirine or rilpivirine and telaprevir in healthy volunteers: a randomised, two-way crossover trial [Abstract O-18]. 13th International Workshop on Clinical Pharmacology of HIV Therapy. April 16-18, 2012; Barcelona, Spain.

Kasserra C, Hughes E, Treitel M, Gupta S, O'Mara E. Clinical Pharmacology of BOC: Metabolism, Excretion, and Drug-Drug Interactions. In: Proceedings from the 18th Conference on Retroviruses and Opportunistic Infections (CROI): February 27-March 2. 2011; Boston, MA. Abstract 118.

KDIGO. KDIGO clinical practice guidelines for the prevention, diagnosis, evaluation, and treatment of hepatitis C in chronic kidney disease. *Kidney Int Suppl.* 2008;(109):S1-99.

Khokhar OS, Lewis JH. Reasons why patients infected with chronic hepatitis C virus choose to defer treatment: do they alter their decision with time? *Dig Dis Sci.* 2007;52(5):1168-1176.

Kirby B, Mathias A, Rossi S, et al. No clinically significant pharmacokinetic drug interactions between sofosbuvir (GS-7977) and HIV antiretrovirals atripla, rilpivirine, darunavir/ritonavir, or raltegravir in healthy volunteers. 63rd Annual Meeting of the American Association of the Study of Liver Diseases (AASLD) 2012. Nov 9-11, 2012, 2013; Boston, MA.

Kleiner DE. The liver biopsy in chronic hepatitis C: a view from the other side of the microscope. *Semin Liver Dis.* 2005;25(1):52-64.

Lai JC, Kahn JG, Tavakol M, Peters MG, Roberts JP. Reducing infection transmission in solid organ transplantation through donor nucleic Acid testing: a cost-effectiveness analysis. *Am J Transplant.* 2013;13(10):2611-2618.

Lalezari L, Nelson DR, Hyland RH, et al. Once daily sofosbuvir plus ribavirin for 12 and 24 weeks in treatment-naive patients with HCV infection: the QUANTUM study. Program and abstracts of the 48th Annual Meeting of the European Association for the Study of the Liver. April 24-28, 2013, 2013; Amsterdam, The Netherlands.

Larney S, Kopinski H, Beckwith CG, et al. Incidence and prevalence of hepatitis C in prisons and other closed settings: Results of a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Hepatology.* 2013;58(4):1215-1224.

Lawitz E, Gane EJ. Sofosbuvir for previously untreated chronic hepatitis C infection. *N Engl J Med.* 2013a;369(7):678-679.

Lawitz E, Mangia A, Wyles D, et al. Sofosbuvir for previously untreated chronic hepatitis C infection. *N Engl J Med.* 2013b;368(20):1878-1887.

Lee SR, Kardos KW, Schiff E, et al. Evaluation of a new, rapid test for detecting HCV infection, suitable for use with blood or oral fluid. *J Virol Methods.* 2011;172(1-2):27-31.

Lewis JH, Mortensen ME, Zweig S, Fusco MJ, Medoff JR, Belder R. Efficacy and safety of high-dose pravastatin in hypercholesterolemic patients with well-compensated chronic liver disease: Results of a prospective, randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled, multicenter trial. *Hepatology.* 2007;46(5):1453-1463.

Limketkai BN, Mehta SH, Sutcliffe CG, et al. Relationship of liver disease stage and antiviral therapy with liver-related events and death in adults coinfected with HIV/HCV. *JAMA.* 2012;308(4):370-378.

Linas BP, Wong AY, Schackman BR, Kim AY, Freedberg KA. Cost-effective screening for acute hepatitis C virus infection in HIV-infected men who have sex with men. *Clin Infect Dis.* 2012;55(2):279-290.

Mahajan R, Liu SJ, Klevens RM, Holmberg SD. Indications for testing among reported cases of HCV infection from enhanced hepatitis surveillance sites in the United States, 2004-2010. *Am J Public Health.* 2013;103(8):1445-1449.

McGowan CE, Monis A, Bacon BR, et al. A global view of hepatitis C: physician knowledge, opinions, and perceived barriers to care. *Hepatology.* 2013;57(4):1325-1332.

Mehta SH, Lucas GM, Mirel LB, et al. Limited effectiveness of antiviral treatment for hepatitis C in an urban HIV clinic. *AIDS.* 2006;20(18):2361-2369.

Miller L, Fluker SA, Osborn M, Liu X, Strawder A. Improving access to hepatitis C care for urban, underserved patients using a primary care-based hepatitis C clinic. *J Natl Med Assoc*. 2012;104(5-6):244-250.

Mira JA, Rivero-Juárez A, López-Cortes LF, et al. Benefits from sustained virologic response to pegylated interferon plus ribavirin in HIV/hepatitis C virus-coinfected patients with compensated cirrhosis. *Clin Infect Dis.* 2013;56(11):1646-1653.

Moreno C, Herzode C, Marcellin P, et al. Simeprevir with peginterferon/ribavirin in treatment-naïve or experienced patients with chronic HCV genotype 4 infection: Interim results of a Phase III trial. 14th European AIDS conference Brussels Belgium Oct 2013. Oct 16-19, 2013, 2013; Brussels, Belgium.

Morrill JA, Shrestha M, Grant RW. Barriers to the treatment of hepatitis C. Patient, provider, and system factors. *J Gen Intern Med.* 2005;20(8):754-758.

Moyer VA. Screening for HIV: U.S. Preventive Services Task Force Recommendation Statement. *Ann Intern Med.* 2013;159(1):51-60.

Musso G, Gambino R, Cassader M, Pagano G. A meta-analysis of randomized trials for the treatment of nonalcoholic fatty liver disease. *Hepatology.* 2010;52(1):79-104.

Noda K, Yoshihara H, Suzuki K, et al. Progression of type C chronic hepatitis to liver cirrhosis and hepatocellular carcinoma--its relationship to alcohol drinking and the age of transfusion. *Alcohol Clin Exp Res.* 1996;20(1 Suppl):95A-100A.

Ortiz V, Berenguer M, Rayon JM, Carrasco D, Berenguer J. Contribution of obesity to hepatitis C-related fibrosis progression. *Am J Gastroenterol.* 2002;97(9):2408-2414.

Osinusi A, Meissner EG, Lee YJ, et al. Sofosbuvir and ribavirin for hepatitis C genotype 1 in patients with unfavorable treatment characteristics: a randomized clinical trial. *JAMA.* 2013;310(8):804-811.

Ouwerkerk-Mahadevan S, Sekar V, Peeters M, Beumont-Mauviel M. The pharmokinetic interactions of HCV protease inhibitor TMC435 with RPV, TDF, EFV, or RAL in health volunteers [Abstract 49]. 19th Conference on Retroviruses and Opportunistic Infections (CROI). March 5-8, 2012; Seattle, Washington.

Pawlotsky JM. Use and interpretation of virological tests for hepatitis C. *Hepatology.* 2002;36(5 Suppl 1):S65-S73.

Poordad F, Manns MP, Marcellin P, et al. Simeprevir (TMC435) with Peginterferon/Ribavirin for Treatment of Chronic HCV Genotype-1 Infection in Treatment-Naive Patients: Results From QUEST-2, a Phase III Trial. Digestive Disease Week. May 18-21, 2013, 2013; Orlando, FL.

Poynard T, Bedossa P, Opolon P. Natural history of liver fibrosis progression in patients with chronic hepatitis C. The OBSVIRC, METAVIR, CLINIVIR, and DOSVIRC groups. *Lancet.* 1997;349(9055):825-832.

Proeschold-Bell RJ, Patkar AA, Naggie S, et al. An integrated alcohol abuse and medical treatment model for patients with hepatitis C. *Dig Dis Sci.* 2012;57(4):1083-1091.

Regev A, Berho M, Jeffers LJ, et al. Sampling error and intraobserver variation in liver biopsy in patients with chronic HCV infection. *Am J Gastroenterol.* 2002;97(10):2614-2618.

Reilley B, Leston J, Redd JT, Geiger R. Lack of Access to Treatment as a Barrier to HCV Screening: A Facility-Based Assessment in the Indian Health Service. *J Public Health Manag Pract.* 2013;

Rockey DC, Bissell DM. Noninvasive measures of liver fibrosis. *Hepatology.* 2006;43(2 Suppl 1):S113-S120.

Rodriguez-Torres M, Rodriguez-Orengo JF, Gaggar A, et al. Sofosbuvir and Peginterferon Alfa-2a/Ribavirin for Treatment-Naïve Genotype 1?4 HCV-Infected Patients Who Are Coinfected With HIV. 53rd ICAAC 2013. Sept 10-13, 2013, 2013; Denver, CO.

Rossaro L, Torruellas C, Dhaliwal S, et al. Clinical Outcomes of Hepatitis C Treated with Pegylated Interferon and Ribavirin via Telemedicine Consultation in Northern California. *Dig Dis Sci.* 2013;58(12):3620-3625.

Ruane P, Ain D, Meshrekey R, Stryker R. Sofosbuvir Plus Ribavirin in the Treatment of Chronic HCV Genotype 4 Infection in Patients of Egyptian . Ancestry AASLD The Liver Meeting 2013. Nov 2, 2013, 2013; Washington DC.

Safdar K, Schiff ER. Alcohol and hepatitis C. *Semin Liver Dis.* 2004;24(3):305-315.

Sangiovanni A, Prati GM, Fasani P, et al. The natural history of compensated cirrhosis due to hepatitis C virus: a 17-year cohort study of 214 patients. *Hepatology.* 2006;43(6):1303-1310.

Schmidt AJ, Falcato L, Zahno B, et al. Prevalence of hepatitis C in a Swiss sample of men who have sex with men: whom to screen for HCV infection? BMC Public Health. 2014;14(1):3.

Seem DL, Lee I, Umscheid CA, Kuehnert MJ. Excerpt from PHS guideline for reducing HIV, HBV and HCV transmission through organ transplantation. *Am J Transplant.* 2013;13(8):1953-1962.

Shaw K, Gennat H, O'Rourke P, Del MC. Exercise for overweight or obesity. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev.* 2006;(4):CD003817.

Shiffman RN, Shekelle P, Overhage JM, Slutsky J, Grimshaw J, Deshpande AM. Standardized reporting of clinical practice guidelines: a proposal from the Conference on Guideline Standardization. Ann Intern Med. 2003;139(6):493-498.

Smith BD, Morgan RL, Beckett GA, et al. Recommendations for the identification of chronic hepatitis C virus infection among persons born during 1945-1965. *MMWR Recomm Rep.* 2012;61(RR-4):1-32.

Stein MR, Soloway IJ, Jefferson KS, Roose RJ, Arnsten JH, Litwin AH. Concurrent group treatment for hepatitis C: implementation and outcomes in a methadone maintenance treatment program. *J Subst Abuse Treat.* 2012;43(4):424-432.

Stone NJ, Robinson J, Lichtenstein AH, et al. 2013 ACC/AHA Guideline on the Treatment of Blood Cholesterol to Reduce Atherosclerotic Cardiovascular Risk in Adults: A Report of the American College of Cardiology/American Heart Association Task Force on Practice Guidelines. *Circulation.* 2013;

Sulkowski M, Pol S, Mallolas J, et al. Boceprevir versus placebo with pegylated interferon alfa-2b and ribavirin for treatment of hepatitis C virus genotype 1 in patients with HIV: a randomised, double-blind, controlled phase 2 trial. *Lancet Infect Dis.* 2013a;13(7):597-605.

Sulkowski M, Rodriguez-Torres M, Lalezari J, et al. All-Oral Therapy With Sofosbuvir Plus Ribavirin For the Treatment of HCV Genotype 1, 2, and 3 Infection in Patients Co-infected With HIV (PHOTON-1) . *Hepatology.* 2013b;58(Number 4 Suppl 1):313A.

Sulkowski M, Rodriguez-Torres M, Lalezari JP, et al. All-Oral Therapy with Sofosbuvir Plus Ribavirin for the treatment of HCV genotype 1,2 and 3 infection in patients coinfected with HIV (PHOTON-1). AASLD Annual Meeting 2013. Nov 1-5, 2013, 2013c; Washington, DC.

Sulkowski MS, Sherman KE, Dieterich DT, et al. Combination therapy with telaprevir for chronic hepatitis C virus genotype 1 infection in patients with HIV: a randomized trial. *Ann Intern Med.* 2013d;159(2):86-96.

Thein HH, Yi Q, Dore GJ, Krahn MD. Natural history of hepatitis C virus infection in HIV-infected individuals and the impact of HIV in the era of highly active antiretroviral therapy: a meta-analysis. *AIDS.* 2008;22(15):1979-1991.

Thomas DL. The challenge of hepatitis C in the HIV-infected person. *Annu Rev Med.* 2008;59:473-485.

Urbanus AT, van de Laar TJ, Stolte IG, et al. Hepatitis C virus infections among HIV-infected men who have sex with men: an expanding epidemic. *AIDS.* 2009;23(12):F1-F7.

US FDA. FDA Antiviral Drugs Advisory Committee Meeting October 25, 2013: Background Package for NDA 204671 Sofosbuvir (GS-7977). http://www.fda.gov/AdvisoryCommittees/CommitteesMeetingMaterials/Drugs/AntiviralDrugsAdvisoryCommittee/ucm371875.htm. Accessed on November 15, 2013.

US FDA. FDA Introductory Remarks: Sofosbuvir NDA 204671. Presented on October 25, 2013. http://www.fda.gov/downloads/AdvisoryCommittees/CommitteesMeetingMaterials/Drugs/AntiviralDrugsAdvisoryCommittee/UCM375285.pdf. Accessed on December 6, 2013.

US Preventive Services Task Force. Screening for hepatitis C virus infection in adults: US Preventive Services Task Force recommendation statement. http://www.uspreventiveservicestaskforce.org/uspstf/uspshepc.htm. Accessed on October 28, 2013.

van de Laar T, Pybus O, Bruisten S, et al. Evidence of a large, international network of HCV transmission in HIV-positive men who have sex with men. *Gastroenterology.* 2009;136(5):1609-1617.

van de Laar TJ, Matthews GV, Prins M, Danta M. Acute hepatitis C in HIV-infected men who have sex with men: an emerging sexually transmitted infection. *AIDS.* 2010;24(12):1799-1812.

van Heeswijk R, Garg V, Vandevoorde A, Witek J, Dannemann B. The pharmacokinetic interaction between telaprevir and raltegravir in healthy volunteers. 51st Interscience Conference on Antimicrobial Agents and Chemotherapy (ICAAC). September 17-20, 2011a; Chicago, IL.

van Heeswijk R, Vandevoorde A, Boogaerts G et al. Pharmacokinetic interactions between ARV agents and the investigational HCV protease inhibitor TVR in healthy volunteers [Abstract 119]. 18th Conference on Retroviruses and Opportunistic Infections (CROI). February 27-March 2, 2011b; Boston, MA.

Vermeersch P, Van RM, Lagrou K. Validation of a strategy for HCV antibody testing with two enzyme immunoassays in a routine clinical laboratory. *J Clin Virol.* 2008;42(4):394-398.

Vourvahis M, Plotka A, Kantaridis C, Fang A, Heera J. The effect of boceprevir and telaprevir on the pharmacokinetics of maraviroc: an open-label, fixed-sequence study in healthy volunteers [Abstract O-17]. 14th International Workshop on Clinical Pharmacology of HIV Therapy. April 22-24, 2013; Amsterdam, Netherlands.

Wai CT, Greenson JK, Fontana RJ, et al. A simple noninvasive index can predict both significant fibrosis and cirrhosis in patients with chronic hepatitis C. *Hepatology.* 2003;38(2):518-526.

Wandeler G, Gsponer T, Bregenzer A, et al. Hepatitis C virus infections in the Swiss HIV Cohort Study: a rapidly evolving epidemic. *Clin Infect Dis.* 2012;55(10):1408-1416.

Ward JW. Testing for HCV: the first step in preventing disease transmission and improving health outcomes for HCV-infected individuals. *Antivir Ther.* 2012;17(7 Pt B):1397-1401.

Westin J, Lagging LM, Spak F, et al. Moderate alcohol intake increases fibrosis progression in untreated patients with hepatitis C virus infection. *J Viral Hepat.* 2002;9(3):235-241.

Whitlock EP, Polen MR, Green CA, Orleans T, Klein J. Behavioral counseling interventions in primary care to reduce risky/harmful alcohol use by adults: a summary of the evidence for the U.S. Preventive Services Task Force. *Ann Intern Med.* 2004;140(7):557-568.

Wiley TE, McCarthy M, Breidi L, McCarthy M, Layden TJ. Impact of alcohol on the histological and clinical progression of hepatitis C infection. *Hepatology.* 1998;28(3):805-809.

Williams IT, Bell BP, Kuhnert W, Alter MJ. Incidence and transmission patterns of acute hepatitis C in the United States, 1982-2006. *Arch Intern Med.* 2011;171(3):242-248.

Witt MD, Seaberg EC, Darilay A, et al. Incident hepatitis C virus infection in men who have sex with men: a prospective cohort analysis, 1984-2011. *Clin Infect Dis.* 2013;57(1):77-84.

Zarski JP, Bohn B, Bastie A, et al. Characteristics of patients with dual infection by hepatitis B and C viruses. *J Hepatol.* 1998;28(1):27-33.

Zeuzem S, Andreone P, Pol S, et al. Telaprevir for retreatment of HCV infection. *N Engl J Med.* 2011;364(25):2417-2428.

Zeuzem S, Berg T, Gane E, et al. Simeprevir Increases Rate of Sustained Virologic Response Among Treatment-Experienced Patients with HCV Genotype-1 Infection: a Phase IIb Trial. *Gastroenterology.* 2013a;

Zeuzem S, Dusheiko GM, Salupere R. Sofosbuvir + ribavirin for 12 or 24 weeks for patients with HCV genotype 2 or 3: the VALENCE trial. Hepatology: Special Issue: The 64th Annual Meeting of the American Association for the Study of Liver Diseases: The Liver Meeting 2013. Nov 1-5, 2013, 10-15-2013b;733A; Washington, DC.

WEBSITE POLICIES

Visitor's Agreement

Welcome to www.HCVguidelines.org, a service of the Guidance. Please read this Visitor's Agreement. By using this service, you agree to its terms.

By registering with HCVguidelines.org, or by using the service, you agree that we may use and disclose, as we see fit and as is consistent with applicable law, any information that you provide or we obtain concerning your use of the service. We may change the terms of this Agreement from time to time. By continuing to use the service after we post notice of any such changes, you agree to accept the new terms.

If you disagree with any material you find on our service, we invite you to bring to our attention the material you believe to be inaccurate. Please forward a copy of the material to us at HCVguidelines@iasusa.org, along with an explanation of your objection or disagreement.

Medical Information Disclaimer

The information contained in HCVguidelines.org is presented primarily for the purpose of providing information for and educating physicians on HCV treatment and disease management topics. Nothing contained at HCVguidelines.org is intended to constitute a specific medical diagnosis, treatment, or recommendation. The information should not be considered complete, nor should it be relied on to suggest a course of treatment for a particular individual. It should not be used in place of a visit, call, consultation, or the advice of a physician or other qualified health care provider. Information obtained at HCVguidelines.org is not exhaustive and does not cover all complications, diseases, ailments, physical conditions, or their treatment with respect to HCV or any other disease. Patients who have any health care-related questions should call or see a physician or other qualified health care provider promptly. You should never disregard medical advice or delay seeking it because of something you have read at HCVguidelines.org.

The information contained at HCVguidelines.org is prepared by or compiled from a variety of sources. HCVguidelines.org, information providers, and sources do not directly or indirectly practice medicine or dispense medical services as a part of HCVguidelines.org. HCVguidelines.org may contain information about the investigational uses of drugs or products that are not approved by the U.S. Food and Drug Administration. You should consult full prescribing information before using any information or product mentioned at HCVguidelines.org. By using HCVguidelines.org, you agree to the above terms.

Copyrights and Trademarks

HCVguidelines.org expects that you will not use the service to violate anyone's rights in copyrights, trademarks, or other intellectual property. By submitting material to HCVguidelines.org, you are either representing yourself as the owner of the material or making your submission with the explicit consent of the owner. Submitting material that is the property of another without the specific consent of its owner is not only a violation of this agreement, but may also subject you to legal liability for infringement of copyright, trademark or other intellectual property rights. The materials available through HCVguidelines.org are the property of the Guidance and its licensors, and are protected by copyright, trademark, and other intellectual property laws. You are welcome to display and print for your personal,

non-commercial use information you receive through HCVguidelines.org. However, you may not otherwise reproduce any of the materials without the prior written consent of the owner. You may not distribute copies of materials found on HCVguidelines.org in any form—including by e-mail or other electronic means—without prior written permission from the owner. Of course, you are free to encourage others to access the information themselves on HCVguidelines.org and to tell them how to find it. Requests for permission to reproduce or distribute materials found on HCVguidelines.org should be sent to Reprint Requests, International Antiviral Society–USA, Attention: HCV Guidance, 425 California Street, Suite 1450, San Francisco, CA 94104-2120, or HCVguidelines@iasusa.org.

Trademarks: You may not use any trademark or service mark appearing on HCVguidelines.org without the prior written consent of the owner of the mark. HCVguidelines.org and the Guidance logo are trademarks of the Guidance.

We welcome links to our service. You are free to establish a hypertext link to this site so long as the link does not state or imply any sponsorship of your site by HCVguidelines.org or the Guidance.

No Framing: Without the prior written permission of the Guidance, you may not frame any of the content of HCVguidelines.org, or incorporate into another Web site or other service any intellectual property of HCVguidelines.org, the Guidance, or of their licensors. Requests for permission to frame our content may be sent to the Guidance either by e-mail or to the address above.

Disclaimer of Warranties and Liability

Please read this Disclaimer carefully before using HCVguidelines.org:

YOU AGREE THAT YOUR USE OF THIS SERVICE IS AT YOUR SOLE RISK. BECAUSE OF THE NUMBER OF POSSIBLE SOURCES OF INFORMATION AVAILABLE THROUGH THE SERVICE, AND THE INHERENT HAZARDS AND UNCERTAINTIES OF ELECTRONIC DISTRIBUTION, THERE MAY BE DELAYS, OMISSIONS, INACCURACIES OR OTHER PROBLEMS WITH SUCH INFORMATION. IF YOU RELY ON THIS SERVICE, OR ANY MATERIAL AVAILABLE THROUGH THIS SERVICE, YOU DO SO AT YOUR OWN RISK.

THIS SERVICE IS PROVIDED TO YOU "AS IS." HCVGUIDELINES.ORG, THE GUIDANCE, AND THEIR AFFILIATES, AGENTS, AND LICENSORS CANNOT AND DO NOT WARRANT THE ACCURACY, COMPLETENESS, NONINFRINGEMENT, MERCHANTABILITY, OR FITNESS FOR A PARTICULAR PURPOSE OF THE INFORMATION AVAILABLE THROUGH THE SERVICE, NOR DO THEY GUARANTEE THAT THE SERVICE WILL BE ERROR-FREE, OR CONTINUOUSLY AVAILABLE, OR THAT THE SERVICE WILL BE FREE OF VIRUSES OR OTHER HARMFUL COMPONENTS. UNDER NO CIRCUMSTANCES SHALL HCVGUIDELINES.ORG, THE GUIDANCE, OR THEIR AFFILIATES, AGENTS OR LICENSORS BE LIABLE TO YOU OR ANYONE ELSE FOR ANY DAMAGES OTHER THAN DIRECT DAMAGES. THIS PROVISION INCLUDES, WITHOUT LIMITATION, ANY LIABILITY FOR CONSEQUENTIAL, SPECIAL, INCIDENTAL, INDIRECT OR SIMILAR DAMAGES, EVEN IF WE ARE ADVISED BEFOREHAND OF THE POSSIBILITY OF SUCH DAMAGES. YOU AGREE THAT THE LIABILITY OF HCVGUIDELINES.ORG, THE GUIDANCE, AND THEIR AFFILIATES, AGENTS, AND LICENSORS, IF ANY, ARISING OUT OF ANY KIND OF LEGAL CLAIM IN ANY WAY CONNECTED TO THE SERVICE, SHALL NOT EXCEED THE AMOUNT YOU PAID TO THE SERVICE FOR THE USE OF THE SERVICE.

By using HCVguidelines.org, you agree to abide by the terms of this Visitor's Agreement. We hope you

enjoy using HCVguidelines.org, and we welcome suggestions for improvements.